

*Report on the Actuarial Valuation of
Post Retirement Benefits of the
Vermont State Teachers'
Retirement System*

Prepared as of June 30, 2011

October 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	1
Section I – Overview	3
Section II – Required Information	5
Section III – Membership Data and Medical Premium	7
Section IV – Required Supplementary Information	10
Section V – Net OPEB Obligation	11
Section VI – Actuarial Assumptions and Methods.....	12
Section VII – Consideration of Health Care Reform.....	16
Section VIII – Postretirement Benefit Plan Provisions	17
Section IX – Glossary of Terms.....	19

INTRODUCTION

The Board of Trustees of the Vermont State Teachers' Retirement System has engaged Buck to prepare an actuarial valuation of their OPEB (Other Post-employment Benefits, or, postretirement benefits other than pension) program as of June 30, 2011. The State Treasurer's Office provided the employee data and premium information used in the completion of this study.

The purposes of the valuation are to measure the current liabilities of the System for its post-retirement benefits program and to provide reporting and disclosure information for financial statements, governmental agencies and other interested parties. In addition, the valuation provides information that may be used to determine the level of contributions recommended to assure sound funding of such benefits. This valuation report contains information that is required for compliance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board's Statement 45, which relates to accounting and financial reporting for postemployment benefits other than pensions.

There were no plan changes reflected in this valuation. Provisions of national health care reform legislation taking effect after June 30, 2010, were reflected in the valuation made as of that date.

Assumptions related to decrement rates were updated to reflect the Experience Study of the State Teacher's Retirement System of Vermont, presented to and adopted by the Board on March 23, 2011. As a result of this study, the disability incidence and post-retirement mortality assumptions were revised. The combined effect of these changes was a modest increase in the Actuarial Accrued Liability. The assumptions are reasonable for the purpose of this study.

A change in the population of retirees included in the valuation was made in order to more accurately capture the actual retiree population for which the System is liable as of the valuation date. Previously, retirements as of the July 1st, following the valuation were not included in the valuation. For the first time this year, the System provided data on these retirees and they were included as retired participants as of June 30, 2011. This change generated an actuarial loss. It should be noted that the data provided by the System includes a significant number of individuals whom we were told to exclude from the valuation. However, a significant portion of those whom we were told to exclude in our previous valuation we have now been instructed to include in our calculations. We have been informed that there were additional data corrections in this year's census information, but will continue to monitor the status of currently excluded individuals.


Please see the table in Section 1 for details on actuarial gains and losses experienced over the year.

The valuation reflects the fact that there is currently no formal pre-funding policy, although pre-funding remains under consideration. Therefore, results are calculated using a 4.00% discount rate to reflect the assumption that benefits are expected to be financed from the state's general fund. A second scenario is provided which assumes the System's liabilities will be funded in a manner similar to that used for pensions, starting with the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2011. Results under this scenario reflect a discount rate of 7.90%, the single-equivalent rate which is consistent with the revised rate of return assumptions used for the pension valuation. Section II provides a summary of the principal valuation results in the form of the information required under GASB 45.

We are Fellows of the Society of Actuaries and Members of the American Academy of Actuaries. We meet the Qualification Standards of the Academy to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. David Driscoll meets the Qualification Standards in the pension areas of practice and has concentrated on the long term aspects of this analysis. Hope Manion meets the Qualification Standards in the health area of practice and has concentrated on the short term aspects of this analysis. Both undersigned actuaries have reviewed the overall reasonableness and consistency of these results. This report has been prepared in accordance with all applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice, and we are available to answer questions concerning it.

Respectfully Submitted,

BUCK CONSULTANTS, LLC



Hope C. Manion, FSA, MAAA
Director and Consulting Actuary

October 21, 2011

Date



David L. Driscoll, FSA, MAAA, EA
Principal, Consulting Actuary

October 21, 2011

Date

SECTION I – OVERVIEW

The System experienced a net increase in its accrued liability for post-retirement benefits over the past year. The increase in liability is primarily attributable to the following factors:

- Expected increases due to the passage of time;
- The inclusion of the retirements that occur on the day after the valuation date for the first time;
- Updates to demographic assumptions (described below) as recommended by the Experience Study of the State Teacher’s Retirement System of Vermont, presented to the Board on March 23, 2011 (“Experience Study”).

Increases due to these factors were mitigated by an actuarial gain due to increases in plan premiums that were smaller than expected.

There were no plan changes reflected in this valuation.

Assumptions related to decrement rates were updated to reflect the recommendations of the Experience Study, as follows:

- Disability incidence rates were reduced at certain ages;
- For post-retirement mortality for Group C, the age setback was changed from one year for males and females to three years for males and one year for females;
- Disabled retiree mortality was changed to reflect mortality improvement projection to 2016.

There were no changes to the discount rate used for the pay-as-you go basis or to the healthcare trend rates. The discount rate assumed for the pre-funded basis alternative scenario reflects a single rate equivalent of the select and ultimate discount rates recommended by the Experience Study. A summary of valuation assumptions is shown in Section VI.

GASB Staff Technical Bulletin No. 2006-1, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Payments from the Federal Government Pursuant to the Retiree Drug Subsidy Provisions of Medicare Part D*, provides that GASB OPEB calculations cannot reflect offsets for future Medicare Part D Retiree Drug Subsidy payments. Instead, such payments are to be reflected when the drug subsidy is actually earned (i.e., when the drug benefit costs for which the subsidy is due have been incurred by the participants). Thus, our calculations do not reflect the value of future Retiree Drug Subsidy amounts.

We have made explicit adjustments to the values developed in this report for the future effects of the “Cadillac tax” introduced under federal healthcare reform legislation. We have not made adjustments for other potential effects of health care reform legislation on VSTRS liabilities. Please see Section VII for details.

Shown below is a reconciliation of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability from last year to this year under the 4% discount rate assumption (amounts in \$millions).

6/30/2010 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability		\$703.8
End of year service cost	\$22.0	
Interest cost	27.8	
Benefit Payments	<u>(19.1)</u>	
6/30/2011 Expected Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability		\$734.5
Impact of recent year Demographic Experience	\$21.1	
Include July 1 retirees	35.4	
New per capita costs	(33.1)	
New decrement assumptions	<u>22.1</u>	
6/30/2011 Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability		\$780.0


The fiscal 2012 Annual Required Contribution calculated on the pay-as-you-go basis at a discount rate of 4.00% is \$43,410,732; we estimate the Annual Required Contribution calculated at 4.00% for the subsequent year (fiscal year ending June 30, 2013) to be \$45,312,092.

SECTION II – REQUIRED INFORMATION


	<u>Pre-Funding Basis</u>	<u>Pay-as-you-go Basis</u>
a) Assumed investment return	7.90%	4.00%
b) Actuarial value of assets	\$ 0	\$ 0
c) Actuarial accrued liability		
Active Participants	\$ 151,115,813	\$ 336,352,295
Retired Participants	<u>285,700,760</u>	<u>443,679,860</u>
Total	\$ 436,816,573	\$ 780,032,155
d) Unfunded actuarial liability (c. - b.)	\$ 436,816,573	\$ 780,032,155
e) Funded ratio (c. / b.)	0%	0%
f) Annual covered payroll	\$ 547,748,405	\$ 547,748,405
g) Unfunded actuarial liability as percentage of covered payroll	79.8%	142.4%
h) Normal cost for the 2011 fiscal year	\$ 8,409,612	\$ 20,855,820
i) Amortization of unfunded actuarial liability for the 2011 fiscal year (30-year)	\$ <u>21,024,973</u>	\$ <u>22,554,912</u>
j) Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the 2011 fiscal year* (h. + i.)	\$ 29,434,585	\$ 43,410,732
k) Expected value of premium payments	\$ 22,743,953	\$ 22,743,953
l) Increase in annual cost to fund the Plan (j. - k.)	\$ 6,690,632	N/A

*Payment is assumed to be made at the beginning of the fiscal year.

Actuarial Accrued Liability in \$millions – Actives versus Retirees



Actuarial Accrued Liability in \$millions – Pre-65 versus Post-65




SECTION III – MEMBERSHIP DATA AND MEDICAL PREMIUM

Number of participants included in valuation

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Actives	10,123	10,509
Inactives	<u>5,600*</u>	<u>4,989*</u>
Total	15,723	15,498

* Includes 647 and 709 terminated vested individuals in 2011 and 2010 respectively. In addition, includes 295 retirees who retired on July 1, 2011. In prior valuations, July 1 retirements were excluded.

Participants



THE NUMBER OF ACTIVE MEMBERS
DISTRIBUTED BY AGE AND SERVICE
AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

AGE	0 to 4	5 to 9	10 to 14	15 to 19	20 to 24	25 to 29	30 to 34	35 to 39	40 & up	Total
Under 20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20 to 24	114	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	115
25 to 29	532	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	664
30 to 34	488	486	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,086
35 to 39	301	414	392	62	0	0	0	0	0	1,169
40 to 44	268	339	355	278	60	0	0	0	0	1,300
45 to 49	208	263	274	240	208	67	1	0	0	1,261
50 to 54	196	247	293	222	253	267	60	0	0	1,538
55 to 59	140	179	296	252	289	239	284	62	0	1,741
60 to 64	76	91	155	154	180	142	137	123	7	1,065
65 to 69	17	15	20	13	28	28	15	25	4	165
70 & up	3	3	4	0	0	2	1	2	4	19
TOTAL	2,343	2,170	1,901	1,221	1,018	745	498	212	15	10,123

17 of the 10,123 active participants are Group A, the remainder are Group C.

Monthly State Costs (including expenses) for 2011

Plan JY	State Share	Change from 2010	Participants
Retiree under 65	\$448.82	3.0%	165
Retiree over 65	\$334.21	3.0%	<u>869</u>
			1034
\$250 Comprehensive Plan			
Retiree under 65	\$448.82	3.0%	693
Retiree over 65	\$334.21	3.0%	<u>1991</u>
			2684
Vermont Health Partnership			
Retiree under 65	\$448.82	3.0%	851
Vermont Blue 65 Plan C*			
Medicare Eligible, over 65	\$154.39	-1.2%	384

*Formerly Medicomp C Plan

SECTION IV – REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

The Schedule of Funding Progress is required to be included in the State’s Financial Statements.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

BASED ON CURRENT POLICY OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO FUNDING

(dollar amounts in thousands)

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b)-(a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b)-(a)]/(c)
June 30, 2011	\$0	\$780,032	\$780,032	0%	\$547,748	142.4%
June 30, 2010	\$0	\$703,751	\$703,751	0%	\$560,763	125.5%
June 30, 2009	\$0	\$872,236	\$872,236	0%	\$561,588	155.3%
June 30, 2008	\$0	\$863,555	\$863,555	0%	\$535,807	161.2%
June 30, 2007	\$0	\$820,212	\$820,212	0%	\$515,573	159.1%
June 30, 2006	\$0	\$952,526	\$952,526	0%	\$499,044	190.9%

Liabilities above were based on assumed discount rates of 3.75% prior to 2008 and 4.00% for 2008 and after.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

BASED ON A POLICY OF PRE-FUNDING STARTING JULY 1, 2011

(dollar amounts in thousands)

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b)-(a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b)-(a)]/(c)
June 30, 2011	\$0	\$436,817	\$436,817	0%	\$547,748	79.8%
June 30, 2010	\$0	\$703,751	\$703,751	0%	\$560,763	125.5%
June 30, 2009	\$0	\$872,236	\$872,236	0%	\$561,588	155.3%
June 30, 2008	\$0	\$863,555	\$863,555	0%	\$535,807	161.2%
June 30, 2007	\$0	\$820,212	\$820,212	0%	\$515,573	159.1%
June 30, 2006	\$0	\$952,526	\$952,526	0%	\$499,044	190.9%

Liabilities above were based on assumed discount rates of 3.75% prior to 2008, 4.00% for 2008 through 2010 and 7.9% for 2011.

SECTION V – NET OPEB OBLIGATION

GASB Statement No. 45 requires the development of Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation (NOO). This development is shown in the following table.

DEVELOPMENT OF OPEB COST AND NET OPEB OBLIGATION (NOO)

Year Ending June 30	Annual Required Contribution	Interest on NOO	Amortization of NOO	OPEB Cost (1)+(2)-(3)	Actual Contribution	Change in NOO (4)-(5)	NOO Balance
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
2008	60,220,989	0	0	60,220,989	0	60,220,989	60,220,989
2009	59,124,164	2,408,840	1,741,312	59,791,692	0	59,791,692	120,012,681
2010	58,966,227	4,800,507	3,470,210	60,296,524	0	60,296,524	180,309,205
2011	41,509,429	7,212,368	5,213,706	43,508,091	0	43,508,091	223,817,295
2012	43,410,732	8,952,692	6,471,758	45,891,666			

Benefit payments are made from the pension assets and recorded as an asset loss as part of the pension plan accounting. Therefore, pay-as-you-go costs are not included in the calculation of the NOO.

SECTION VI – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

VERMONT STATE TEACHERS

Interest

4.00% per year, the assumed rate of return on general assets of the employer, for a pay-as-you-go plan. Alternatively, 7.90% per year, net of investment expenses for a fully pre-funded plan. Note that the fully funded discount rate is consistent with the single-equivalent rate used for the pension valuations; in previous years, fully funded results were provided using a discount rate of 8.25%.

Actuarial Cost Method:

Projected Unit Credit with benefits attributed from date of hire until expected retirement date.

Medical Care and State Share Inflation:

Fiscal Year Ending	Inflation Rate
2012	6.0
2013 & After	5.0

The assumption reflects the anticipated impact of the use of the surplus of Vermont Education Health Initiative to moderate the increase in rates over the short term.

Amortization period:

Thirty year amortization starting in FYE12 with payments increasing 5% annually as is consistent with statutory guidelines regarding amortization of pension liabilities.

Grandfathering:

Participants who had attained 10 years of service as of June 30, 2010 are considered Grandfathered.

Separations before normal retirement: Representative values of the assumed annual rates of withdrawal, vested retirement, early retirement, disability and death are as follows. Note that the disability rates have changed since the prior valuation to reflect the March 23, 2011 pension experience study.

Age	Withdrawal and Vested Retirement		Disability		Death	
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
25	20.00%	20.00%	.010%	.015%	.02%	.02%
30	12.00	14.00	.015	.015	.02	.02
35	8.00	11.30	.020	.015	.02	.02
40	6.50	8.60	.030	.020	.05	.02
45	5.80	6.00	.052	.045	.05	.04
50	5.40	5.00	.067	.070	.07	.06
55	5.40	4.84	.088	.095	.07	.10
59	5.40	4.84	.234	.142	.09	.14
60	5.40	4.84	.294	.168	.09	.15
61	5.40	4.84	.366	.202	.297	.17

Participants are considered Grandfathered under the pension plan if they were within 5 years of the former Normal Retirement criteria (age 62 and 5 years of service, or completion of 30 years of service at any age) as of July 1, 2010. Retirement rates are then applicable as follows:

Age	Reduced Early Retirement		Full Early Retirement	
	Grandfathered	Non-Grandfathered	Grandfathered	Non-Grandfathered
50	-	-	40.00%	25.00%
55	8.75%	8.75%	20.00	20.00
56	6.25	6.25	10.00	10.00
57	6.25	6.25	10.00	10.00
58	6.25	6.25	10.00	10.00
59	6.25	6.25	10.00	10.00
60	12.50	12.50	30.00	17.00
61	12.50	12.50	17.00	17.00

Prior to age 65, 25% of Non-Grandfathered participants are assumed to retire the first year they satisfy the Rule of 90 eligibility criteria.

Service Retirements: Occur between ages 62 (60 for Group A) and 70. The assumed rates of service retirement are as follows:

Age	Annual Rate of Retirement	
	Grandfathered	Non-Grandfathered
62	25.0%	20.0%
63	20.0	20.0
64	20.0	20.0
65	30.0	30.0
66	30.0	30.0
67	30.0	30.0
68	20.0	20.0
69	30.0	30.0
70	100.0	100.0

Prior to age 65, 25% of Non-Grandfathered participants are assumed to retire the first year they satisfy the Rule of 90 eligibility criteria.

Deaths after Retirement:

For Group C service retirements: The 1995 Buck Mortality Tables, set back three years for males and one year for females.

For Group A service retirements: The 1995 Buck Mortality Tables set back one year for both males and females.

For the period following disability retirement: the RP-2000 Disabled Life Mortality Tables are used with mortality improvements projected to 2016 with Scale AA.

The tables used were selected to allow for a margin to reflect mortality improvement after the valuation date.

Note that the assumptions for Group C service retirements and disability retirements represent changes from the prior valuation.

Per Capita Costs:

Current and future retirees are valued with a weighted-average premium. Premiums are assumed to include the cost of administering the medical benefits. The weighted-average premium is based on the medical plan coverage of current retirees. No age morbidity is assumed as the benefits are fully insured and the System is not liable for any hidden subsidies arising from blending active and retiree experience.

Estimated gross per capita costs for 2011-12 for pre- and post-Medicare coverage were \$6,732 and \$4,690 respectively, before reflecting percentage of subsidy. It is assumed that future retirees are Medicare eligible. Per capita costs were developed from the State-provided monthly premiums. Claims information was not available. The plans are fully insured via the Vermont Education Health Initiative purchasing trust.

Future employee cost sharing is assumed to be percentage of total costs based on plan provisions.

Coverage:

It is assumed that 60% of those eligible at retirement will elect medical coverage. It is assumed that 30% of terminated vested participants will elect medical coverage. Individuals are assumed to elect options in the same proportion as current retirees.

Marital status:

It is assumed the 85% of males and 70% of females will cover a spouse who is eligible for subsidized coverage at retirement. It is assumed that husbands are 3 years older than wives. Spouses are assumed to make coverage elections in the same proportions as retirees.

SECTION VII – CONSIDERATION OF HEALTH CARE REFORM

Summary of Effects of Selected Provisions

Early Retiree Reinsurance Program – Effective 6/1/2010: Due to the short-term nature of the payments expected to be received under this program, the impact of this program was not reflected on long-term GASB 45 liabilities.

Removal of Lifetime Maximum – Effective 1/1/2011: As the plans offered by VSTRS do not have Lifetime Maximums, there is no effect on the liabilities.

Medicare Advantage Plans - Effective 1/1/2011: The law provides for reductions to the amounts that would be provided to Medicare Advantage plans starting in 2011. As VSTRS does not provide these plans to retirees, there is no impact.

Expansion of Child Coverage to Age 26 - Effective 1/1/2011: The plan does not subsidize the cost of children, and so there is no direct impact. We have assumed any impact on the VEHI rates charged to the plan are reflected in anticipated the state share increase rate.

Medicare Part D Subsidy - Shrinking Medicare Prescription Drug “Donut Hole”- Starting 1/1/2011– The Medicare Part D Retiree Drug Subsidy payments are not reflected as an ongoing offsetting item in GASB 45 valuations. The benefits provided to Medicare eligible Vermont teacher retirees under this plan have enough subsidy provided by the plan that we do not anticipate that plan participation will be affected as the competing Part D benefits are improved. Thus no impact is reflected.

Excise Tax on High-Cost Employer Health Plans (aka Cadillac Tax) - Effective 1/1/2018 - We have estimated additional costs for the high cost plan excise tax (High cost excise tax), and have loaded liabilities 2.0% to reflect the assessment of this tax on plan premiums beginning in 2018. The 2.0% load was estimated reflecting a 3.0% CPI assumption, consistent with the assumption reflected for cost of living in the Vermont State Teachers Retirement System valuation.

Other: We have not identified any other specific provision of national health care reform that would be expected to have a significant impact on the measured obligation. The single payer system called Green Mountain Care to be established in Vermont has the potential of significant impact on the valuation. At this point, plans for implementation are just beginning, and it is not possible to predict what that impact will be. As additional guidance on both the federal and Vermont legislation is issued, we will continue to monitor any potential impacts.

SECTION VIII – POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLAN PROVISIONS

RETIREE MEDICAL BENEFITS

ELIGIBILITY AND PREMIUM SUBSIDY

·Retiree Coverage and Subsidy Level

Years of Service at June 30, 2010

·10 or more:	Age 55 with 10 years of Retirement Service:	80% Subsidy
·Less than 10:	Age 55 & Retirement Service of:	
	·Less than 15 years:	0% Subsidy
	·15-19.99 years:	60% Subsidy
	·20-24.99 years:	70% Subsidy
	·25 years or more:	80% Subsidy

· Spouse Coverage with 80% Subsidy

Years of Service at June 30, 2010

Required Years of Service at Retirement

·Less than 15:	25 years of service at retirement
·Between 15 and 24.99:	10 additional years from June 30, 2010
·Between 25 and 29.99:	35 years of service at retirement
·30 or more:	5 additional years from June 30, 2010

Spouse coverage is not available to the spouses of employees who die in active service after eligibility for subsidized spouse benefits.

Note: there is no subsidy for spouses of current retirees.

Terminated Vested Benefits

Members who terminate with 5 or more years of service but who are not yet 55 years old may elect to receive medical coverage at the time their retirement benefits. Spouse benefits are vested at 25 years of service.

STATE OF VERMONT VSTRS MEDICAL PLANS

	JY Plan*	\$250 Comprehensive Plan*	Vermont Health Partnership
Primary Care Physician	N/A	N/A	Select upon enrollment
Co-Pay	\$15	N/A	\$10 for PCP, \$20 for Specialist
Deductible	N/A	\$250	N/A
Coinsurance (Plan Pays)	100% of Allowed	80%	100% of Allowed
Out of Pocket Maximum	N/A	\$500/\$1,000	N/A
Lifetime Maximum	None	None	None
Prescription Drugs	Generic - \$0 Preferred Brand - \$15 Non-Preferred - \$40		

Plans fully insured via VEHI purchasing partnership.

*For those eligible, benefits are coordinated with Medicare. Vermont Blue65 Plan C Medigap plan is also available. The Medigap plan does not include prescription drug coverage.

SECTION IX – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Actuarial accrued liability

That portion, as determined by a particular Actuarial Cost Method, of the Actuarial Present Value of OPEB benefits and expenses which is not provided for by future Normal Costs and therefore is the value of benefits already earned.

Actuarial assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting OPEB costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, disablement and retirement; changes in compensation and Government provided OPEB benefits; rates of investment earnings and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; characteristics of future entrants for Open Group Actuarial Cost Methods; and other relevant items.

Actuarial cost method

A procedure for determining the Actuarial Present Value of OPEB benefits and expenses and for developing an actuarially equivalent allocation of such value to time periods, usually in the form of a Normal Cost and an Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Actuarial experience gain or loss

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of Actuarial Assumptions, during the period between two Actuarial Valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular Actuarial Cost Method.

Amortization (of unfunded actuarial accrued liability)

That portion of the OPEB plan contribution which is designed to pay interest on and to amortize the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability or the Unfunded Frozen Actuarial Accrued Liability.

Annual OPEB cost

An accrual-basis measure of the periodic cost of an employer's participation in a defined benefit OPEB plan.

Annual required contributions of the employer (ARC)

The employer's periodic expense to a defined benefit OPEB plan, calculated in accordance with the parameters. It is the value of the cash contributions for a funded plan and the starting point in the calculation of the expense entry in the profit and loss section of the financial statements.

Closed amortization period (closed basis)

A specific number of years that is counted from one date and, therefore, declines to zero with the passage of time. For example, if the amortization period initially is thirty years on a closed basis, twenty-nine years remain after the first year, twenty-eight years after the second year, and so forth. In contrast, an open amortization period (open basis) is one that begins again or is recalculated at each actuarial valuation date. Within a maximum number of years specified by law or policy (for example, thirty years), the period may increase, decrease, or remain stable.

Covered payroll

Annual compensation paid to active employees covered by an OPEB plan. If employees also are covered by a pension plan, the covered payroll should include all elements included in compensation on which contributions to the pension plan are based. For example, if pension contributions are calculated on base pay including overtime, covered payroll includes overtime compensation.

Defined benefit OPEB plan

An OPEB plan having terms that specify the benefits to be provided at or after separation from employment. The benefits may be specified in dollars (for example, a flat dollar payment or an amount based on one or more factors such as age, years of service, and compensation), or as a type or level of coverage (for example, prescription drugs or a percentage of healthcare insurance premiums).

Funded ratio

The actuarial value of assets expressed as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability.

Funding policy

The program for the amounts and timing of contributions to be made by plan members, employer(s), and other contributing entities (for example, state government contributions to a local government plan) to provide the benefits specified by an OPEB plan.

Healthcare cost trend rate

The rate of change in per capita health claims costs over time as a result of factors such as medical inflation, utilization of healthcare services, plan design, and technological developments.

Investment return assumption (discount rate)

The rate used to adjust a series of future payments to reflect the time value of money.

Level dollar amortization method

The amount to be amortized is divided into equal dollar amounts to be paid over a given number of years; part of each payment is interest and part is principal (similar to a mortgage payment on a building). Because payroll can be expected to increase as a result of inflation, level dollar payments generally represent a decreasing percentage of payroll; in dollars adjusted for inflation, the payments can be expected to decrease over time.

Level percentage of projected payroll amortization method

Amortization payments are calculated so that they are a constant percentage of the projected payroll of active plan members over a given number of years. The dollar amount of the payments generally will increase over time as payroll increases due to inflation; in dollars adjusted for inflation, the payments can be expected to remain level.

Net OPEB obligation (NOO)

The cumulative difference since the effective date of this Statement between annual OPEB cost and the employer's contributions to the plan, including the OPEB liability (asset) at transition, if any, and excluding (a) short-term differences and (b) unpaid contributions that have been converted to OPEB-related debt. It will be included as a balance sheet entry on the financial statements.

Normal cost

That portion of the Actuarial Present Value of OPEB benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the Actuarial Cost Method. It is the value of benefits to be accrued in the valuation year by active employees.

OPEB-related debt

All long-term liabilities of an employer to an OPEB plan, the payment of which is not included in the annual required contributions of a sole or agent employer (ARC) or the actuarially determined required contributions of a cost-sharing employer. Payments generally are made in accordance with installment contracts that usually include interest. Examples include contractually deferred contributions and amounts assessed to an employer upon joining a multiple-employer plan.

Other postemployment benefits

Postemployment benefits other than pension benefits. Other postemployment benefits (OPEB) include postemployment healthcare benefits, regardless of the type of plan that provides them, and all postemployment benefits provided separately from a pension plan, excluding benefits defined as termination offers and benefits.

Pay-as-you-go

A method of financing a OPEB plan under which the contributions to the plan are generally made at about the same time and in about the same amount as benefit payments and expenses becoming due.

Required supplementary information (RSI)

Schedules, statistical data, and other information that are an essential part of financial reporting and should be presented with, but are not part of, the basic financial statements of a governmental entity.