Appendix

Appendix A

Clean Water Costs & Existing Funding Sources

Appendix B

Review of Bonding Options

- **B.1.** Capital Expenditure Analysis and Remaining Bond Balances
- **B.2.** Treasurer's Request for Capital Project Funds for Clean Water Purposes

Appendix C

Review of Revenue Options

- **C.1.** Revenue Review Criteria
- **C.2.** Abbreviated List of Revenue Sources Reviewed at the November 16th Public Meeting
- **C.3.** Description of Revenue Sources Reviewed at the November 16th Public Meeting
- C.4. Full list of Stakeholder Revenue Recommendations

Appendix D

Public Comment

Appendix A

Clean Water Costs & Existing Funding Sources

Summary: Costs for Clean Water Improvements by Sector

Vermont Water Quality Funding 20-Year Projection (\$s in millions, unless otherwise indicated), Page 1

1	_		*	** FINAL - 1/10/20
2		Summary	Costs for Clean	Water Improve
3	- -	Vermont Water Quali	ty Funding 20-Year Pro	jection (\$s in millions,
4				
5	Tier 1 and Tier 2 Legend			
6	Blue Shaded lines are "Tier 1" costs, the incremental costs associated with TMDLs, Act 6	4 (2015) and CSO Policy (201	6)	
7	Unshaded lines are "Tier 2" costs, costs that support, enhance and catalyze compliance			
8	Tan shaded lines represent total of "Tier 1" and "Tier 2"			
9				
10	Tier 1 Summary: Costs & Financing Sources	Projected 20-Year Costs	Projected 20-Yr Financing	Total 20-Year Gap
11	Tier 1 Municipal Wastewater Pollution Control, including CSO	\$337,971,000	\$215,181,138	(\$122,789,862)
12				

11	Her 1 Municipal Wastewater Pollution Control, including CSO	\$337,971,000	\$215,181,138	(\$122,789,862)	(\$6,139,493)
12					
13	Tier 1 Summary: Costs & Funding Sources	Projected 20-Year Costs	Projected 20-Year Funds	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
14	Tier 1 Agriculture Pollution Control	\$527,633,654	\$209,505,000	(\$318,128,654)	(\$15,906,433)
15	Tier 1 Stormwater Pollution Control, Including Roads	\$694,678,108	\$215,755,179	(\$478,922,929)	(\$23,946,146)
16	Tier 1 Natural Resources Restoration for Pollution Control	\$83,885,000	\$32,927,000	(\$50,958,000)	(\$2,547,900)
17	Tier 1 Subtotal: Agriculture, Stormwater, Natural Resources	\$1,306,196,762	\$458,187,179	(\$848,009,583)	(\$42,400,479)
18	Tier 1 Total	\$1,644,167,762	\$673,368,317	(\$970,799,445)	(\$48,539,972)
19					
20	-				
21	Tier 2 Summary: Costs & Financing Sources	Projected 20-Year Costs	Projected 20-Yr Financing	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
22	Tier 2 Municipal Wastewater Control	\$530,399,000	\$378,603,565	(\$151,795,435)	(\$7,589,772)
23		-		<u>-</u>	
24	Tier 2 Summary: Costs & Funding Sources to Support Compliance	Projected 20-Year Costs	Projected 20-Yr Funds	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
25	Tier 2 Agriculture Pollution Control	\$46,766,538	\$0	(\$46,766,538)	(\$2,338,327)
26	Tier 2 Stormwater Pollution Control, Including Roads	\$32,540,000	\$6,159,000	(\$26,381,000)	(\$1,319,050)
27	Tier 2 Natural Resources Restoration for Pollution Control	\$58,868,000	\$6,435,000	(\$52,433,000)	(\$2,621,650)
28	Tier 2 Subtotal: Agriculture, Stormwater, Natural Resources	\$138,174,538	\$12,594,000	(\$125,580,538)	(\$6,279,027)
29	Tier 2 Total	\$668,573,538	\$391,197,565	(\$277,375,974)	(\$13,868,799)
30		-	-	•	-
21	-				

32	Hei 1 & Hei 2 Total				
33	Total Summary: Costs & Financing Sources	Projected 20-Year Costs	Projected 20-Yr Financing	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
34	Municipal Wastewater Control, including CSO	\$868,370,000	\$593,784,703	(\$274,585,297)	(\$13,729,265)
35			•	•	
36	Total Summary: Costs & Funding Sources	Projected 20-Year Costs	Projected 20-Yr Funds	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
37	Agriculture Pollution Control	\$574,400,192	\$209,505,000	(\$364,895,192)	(\$18,244,760)
38	Stormwater Pollution Control, Including Roads	\$727,218,108	\$221,914,179	(\$505,303,929)	(\$25,265,196)
39	Natural Resources Restoration for Pollution Control	\$142,753,000	\$39,362,000	(\$103,391,000)	(\$5,169,550)
40	Subtotal: Agriculture, Stormwater, Natural Resources	\$1,444,371,300	\$470,781,179	(\$973,590,121)	(\$48,679,506)
41	Tier 1 & Tier 2 Total	\$2,312,741,300	\$1,064,565,882	(\$1,248,175,418)	(\$62,408,771)
	•		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

	Abbreviations
BMP:	Best Management Practice
CSO:	Combined Sewer Overflows
CWF:	Clean Water Fund
CWIP	Clean Water Initiative Program
CWSRF:	Clean Water State Revolving Fund
ER:	Ecosystem Restoration Grants (of CWIP)
FWD:	Fish & Wildlife Department
LC TMDL:	Lake Champlain Total Max Daily Load
NPS:	NonPoint Source (not wastewater & reg. stormwater)
PO4:	Phophorus
REAP Zone:	Rural Economic Area Program Grants
USDA RD:	US Dept of Agriculture Rural Development
VTrans:	Vermont Agency of Transporation
WEP	Water Environment Program
WPC:	Water Pollution Control
WW:	Wastewater

Summary: Costs for Clean Water Improvements by Sector
Vermont Water Quality Funding 20-Year Projection (\$s in millions, unless otherwise indicated), Page 2

1/10/17 9:38 AM

V

Ter 1 and Tier 2 Legend

Blue Shaded lines are "Tier 1" costs, the incremental costs associated with TMDLs, Act 64 (2)

Unshaded lines are "Tier 2" costs, costs that support, enhance and catalyze compliance.

B Tan shaded lines are prepent total of "Tier 1" and "Tier 2"

9

Unstanding Machinery Sectors

10	Municipal Wastewater Sector																					20-Year	
11	Costs & Financing Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30	FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	Annualized
12	Tier 1 Muni. Wastewater Control: Costs, Required by TMDL, Act 64, CSO	11.8	16.1	35.0	42.7	54.8	48.0	39.0	26.8	11.3	11.3	11.3	7.5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	338.0	16.9
13	Tier 1 Muni. Wastewater Control: Funding Sources, Req. by TMDL, Act 64, CSO	9.8	11.5	22.1	25.4	25.6	27.6	26.8	20.3	5.0	6.6	6.7	4.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.5	3.0	3.0	3.0	215.2	10.8
14	Tier 1 Municipal Wastewater Control: Gap, Required by TMDL, Act 64, CSO	(2.0)	(4.6)	(12.8)	(17.3)	(29.2)	(20.4)	(12.2)	(6.5)	(6.2)	(4.7)	(4.6)	(2.6)	(1.1)	(0.9)	(0.9)	(0.8)	(1.3)	(0.7)	3.0	3.0	(122.8)	(6.1)
15	Tier 2 Municipal Wastewater Control: Costs that Support Compliance	27.8	25.0	11.8	7.7	8.8	5.6	6.4	14.9	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	35.2	530.4	26.5
16	Tier 2 Municipal Wastewater Control: Funding Sources to Support Compliance	23.1	17.8	7.5	4.6	4.1	3.2	4.4	11.5	15.7	20.5	20.9	23.1	25.1	26.3	26.8	27.3	23.1	28.5	32.1	32.8	378.6	18.9
17	Tier 2 Municipal Wastewater Control: Gap, to Support Compliance	(4.7)	(7.2)	(4.3)	(3.1)	(4.7)	(2.4)	(2.0)	(3.3)	(19.5)	(14.7)	(14.3)	(12.1)	(10.1)	(8.9)	(8.4)	(7.9)	(12.1)	(6.7)	(3.1)	(2.4)	(151.8)	(7.6)
18	Municipal Wastewater Control: Total Costs	39.7	41.1	46.8	50.4	63.6	53.5	45.4	41.6	46.5	46.5	46.5	42.7	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	35.2	35.2	868.4	43.4
19	Municipal Wastewater Control: Total Funding Sources	32.9	29.3	29.6	30.0	29.8	30.8	31.2	31.8	20.8	27.1	27.6	28.1	27.7	29.1	29.7	30.3	25.6	31.5	35.2	35.9	593.8	29.7
20	Municipal Wastewater Control: Total Gap	(6.8)	(11.8)	(17.1)	(20.4)	(33.8)	(22.8)	(14.3)	(9.8)	(25.7)	(19.4)	(18.9)	(14.6)	(11.2)	(9.8)	(9.3)	(8.7)	(13.4)	(7.5)	(0.0)	0.7	(274.6)	(13.7)
21																							
22	Agricultural Sector																					20-Year	
23	Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30	FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	Annualized
24	Tier 1 Agriculture Pollution Control: Costs, Required by TMDL, Act 64	41.0	51.1	52.0	51.9	49.6	41.3	37.5	30.7	28.8	24.3	14.6	12.8	12.8	10.9	12.2	11.2	10.9	10.9	12.2	10.9	527.6	26.4
25	Tier 1 Agriculture Pollution Control: Funding Sources, Required by TMDL, Act 64	10.1	9.9	10.9	10.7	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	209.5	10.5
26	Tier 1 Agriculture Pollution Control: Gap, Required by TMDL, Act 64	(30.9)	(41.2)	(41.2)	(41.2)	(39.1)	(30.8)	(27.0)	(20.2)	(18.3)	(13.8)	(4.1)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(0.4)	(1.7)	(0.7)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(1.7)	(0.4)	(318.1)	(15.9)
27	Tier 2: Agriculture Pollution Control: Costs that Support Compliance	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	46.8	2.3
28	Tier 2 Agriculture Pollution Control: Funding Sources to Support Compliance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29	Tier 2 Agriculture Pollution Control: Gap, to Support Compliance	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(46.8)	(2.3)
30	Agriculture Pollution Control: Total Costs	43.3	53.4	54.3	54.2	51.9	43.6	39.9	33.0	31.1	26.6	17.0	15.1	15.1	13.3	14.5	13.6	13.3	13.3	14.5	13.3	574.4	28.7
31	Agriculture Pollution Control: Total Funding Sources	10.1	9.9	10.9	10.7	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	209.5	10.5
32	Agriculture Pollution Control: Total Gap	(33.2)	(43.5)	(43.5)	(43.5)	(41.4)	(33.1)	(29.4)	(22.5)	(20.6)	(16.1)	(6.5)	(4.6)	(4.6)	(2.8)	(4.0)	(3.1)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(4.0)	(2.8)	(364.9)	(18.2)
33	Stormwater/Developed Lands Sector																					20-Year	
34	Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30	FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	Annualized
35	Tier 1 Stormwater Pollution Control: Costs, Required by TMDL, Act 64	13.3	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3	43.3	17.8	17.8	17.8	17.8	694.7	34.7
36	Tier 1 Stormwater Pollution Control: Funding Sources Required by TMDL, Act 64	11.0	10.4	10.5	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	13.6	13.6	215.8	10.8
37	Tier 1 Stormwater Pollution Control: Gap, Required by TMDL, Act 64	(2.2)	(28.5)	(28.4)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(7.3)	(7.3)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(478.9)	(23.9)
38	Tier 2 Stormwater Pollution Control: Costs that Support Compliance	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	32.5	1.6
39	Tier 2 Stormwater Pollution Control: Funding Sources to Support Compliance	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	6.2	0.3
40	Tier 2 Stormwater Pollution Control: Gap, to Support Compliance	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.5)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(1.3)	(26.4)	(1.3)
41	Stormwater Pollution Control: Total Costs	14.9	40.5	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	40.6	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	45.0	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	727.2	36.4
42	Stormwater Pollution Control: Total Funding Sources	11.1	10.5	10.6	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	10.8	13.9	13.9	221.9	11.1
43	Stormwater Pollution Control: Total Gap	(3.7)	(30.0)	(30.0)	(29.8)	(29.8)	(29.8)	(29.8)	(29.8)	(29.8)	(29.8)	(34.2)	(34.2)	(34.2)	(34.2)	(34.2)	(34.2)	(8.6)	(8.6)	(5.5)	(5.5)	(505.3)	(25.3)
44	Natural Resources Sector	5144.0	51/40	5/20	51/24	51/22	EV22	51/24	5/25	5000	5427	51/ 20	51/20	FY 30	54.24	51/ 22	54.22	5424	51/25	54.26	54.27	20-Year	Annualizad
45	Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29		FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	Annualized
	Tier 1 Natural Resources Restoration: Costs, Required by TMDL, Act 64	1.9	3.3	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	83.9	4.2
47	Tier 1 Nat Resources Restoration: Funding Sources, Required by TMDL, Act 64	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	32.9	1.6
		10.07		,		,	1				4	1 7		1	,	1.7	,			1 7			
48 49 50	Tier 1 Natural Resources Restoration: Gap, Required by TMDL, Act 64 Tier 2 Natural Resources: Costs that Support Compliance Tier 2 Natural Resources: Funding Sources that Support Compliance Tier 2 Natural Resources: Gap, to Support Compliance	(0.0) 1.0 0.9 (0.0)	(1.4) 2.8 0.3 (2.5)	(2.3) 2.9 0.3 (2.6)	(2.3) 3.1 0.3 (2.8)	(2.6) 3.1 0.3 (2.8)	(2.8) 3.1 0.3 (2.9)	(2.8) 3.0 0.3 (2.8)	(3.2) 3.2 0.3 (2.9)	(3.2) 3.2 0.3 (2.9)	(3.3) 3.2 0.3 (2.9)	(3.2) 3.2 0.3 (2.9)	(3.2) 3.2 0.3 (2.9)	(2.8) 3.0 0.3 (2.8)	(2.8) 3.1 0.3 (2.9)	(2.6) 3.0 0.3 (2.7)	(2.6) 3.0 0.3 (2.7)	(2.6) 3.0 0.3 (2.7)	(2.6) 3.0 0.3 (2.7)	(2.6) 3.0 0.3 (2.7)	(2.6) 3.0 0.3 (2.7)	(51.0) 58.9 6.4 (52.4)	(2.5) 2.9 0.3 (2.6)

49	Tier 2 Natural Resources: Costs that Support Compliance	1.0	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	Γ
50	Tier 2 Natural Resources: Funding Sources that Support Compliance	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	Г
51	Tier 2 Natural Resources: Gap, to Support Compliance	(0.0)	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(2.9)	(2.8)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.9)	Ī
52	Natural Resources Restoration: Total Costs	2.9	6.1	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.5	7.4	7.9	7.9	8.1	7.9	7.9	Ī
53	Natural Resources Restoration: Total Funding Sources	2.8	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	Ī
54	Natural Resources Restoration: Total Gap	(0.0)	(3.9)	(4.9)	(5.1)	(5.4)	(5.6)	(5.5)	(6.0)	(6.0)	(6.2)	(6.0)	(6.0)	Ī
55														7
56	Summary of Broad Categories - TMDL, Act 64, CSO	Project	ed 20-Yea	r Costs	Proj	ected Fun	ding	Tota	l 20-Year	Gap	An	nualized G	ар	
57	Municipal Water Pollution Control Infrastructure (WWTF)		\$337,	971,000		\$215,	181,138		(\$122	,789,862)		(\$6,139	9,493.09)	
58	Non-Wastewater Pollution Control (Precipitation-Based)		\$1,306,	196,762		\$458,	187,179		(\$848,	,009,583)		(\$42,400	0,479.14)	
59	Total		\$1,644,	167,762		\$673,	368,317		(\$970,	,799,445)		(\$48,	,539,972)	
60														
61	Summary of Broad Categories - Other		Need		Proj	ected Fun	ding	Tota	l 20-Year	Gap	An	nualized G	iap	
62	Municipal Water Pollution Control Infrastructure (WWTF)		\$530,	399,000		\$378,	603,565		(\$151,	,795,435)		(\$7,589	9,771.76)	
63	Non-Wastewater Pollution Control (Precipitation-Based)		\$138,	174,538		\$12,	594,000		(\$125	,580,538)		(\$6,279	9,026.92)	
64	Total		écco	F72 F20		¢201	107 565		(¢277	275 0741		/¢12	969 7001	

61	Summary of Broad Categories - Other	Need	Projected Funding	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
62	Municipal Water Pollution Control Infrastructure (WWTF)	\$530,399,000	\$378,603,565	(\$151,795,435)	(\$7,589,771.76)
63	Non-Wastewater Pollution Control (Precipitation-Based)	\$138,174,538	\$12,594,000	(\$125,580,538)	(\$6,279,026.92)
64	Total	\$668,573,538	\$391,197,565	(\$277,375,974)	(\$13,868,799)

	Abbreviations
BMP:	Best Management Practice
CSO:	Combined Sewer Overflows
CWF:	Clean Water Fund
CWIP	Clean Water Initiative Program
CWSRF:	Clean Water State Revolving Fund
ER:	Ecosystem Restoration Grants (of CWIP)
FWD:	Fish & Wildlife Department
LC TMDL:	Lake Champlain Total Max Daily Load
NPS:	NonPoint Source (not wastewater & reg. stormwater)
PO4:	Phophorus
REAP Zone:	Rural Economic Area Program Grants
USDA RD:	US Dept of Agriculture Rural Development
VTrans:	Vermont Agency of Transporation
WEP	Water Environment Program
WPC:	Water Pollution Control
WW:	Wastewater

1 2

*** FINAL . 1/10/2017 *** Sector #1: Clean Water Infrastructure - Municipal Wastewater, Combined Sewer and Other Categories

2 Sector #1: Clean Water Infrastructure - Municipal Wastewater, Combined Sewer and Other Lategories 3 Sector #1: Clean Water Infrastructure - Municipal Wastewater, Combined Sewer and Uther Lategories 4 Funding Need, 2014 Funding Water Sector 1 State Influence with Sector 1 State Influence Water Sector 1 State																							
3					Fun	ding Need	i, 20-Year	Projectio	n (\$s in m	illions, ur	nless other	wise indic	ated)										
4	Municipal Wastewater Sector																					20-Year	
5	Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30	FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	Notes
6	fier 1 Costs: Required by TMDL, Act 64, CSO					-			-			-					-		-		\neg		
7	LC TMDL Phosphorus (13 WWTFs)	0.90	2.30	10.60	16.80	27.70	14.10	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	78.40	Based on FED's Cost Estimate - Does not include longer term cost beyond FY36.
8	WWTF Water Quality Refurbishment, TMDL-Related	6.32	8.08	17.41	20.31	18.08	22.59	21.74	15.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	129.99	
9	Lake Memphremagog Nutirent Removal (4 WWTFs)	0.00	0.22	0.44	2.24	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.40	
10	LC TMDL Phosphorus (Capital Bill Priorities)	3.60	3.20	3.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	Current State Revolving Fund Priority List that includes the Airport Parkway & Hinesburg wastewater treatment facility
11	CSO (66 CSOs at 15 municipalities)	1.00		3.30	3.30	7.52	11.28	11.28	11.28	11.28	11.28	11.28	7.52		3.76	3.76	3.76	3.76	3.76	0.00	0.00		Began implementing CSO controls in 2016 (\$1.34M) and 2017 (\$1.4M)
12	iubtotal	11.82	16.10	34.95	42.65	54.80	47.97	39.02	26.75	11.28	11.28	11.28	7.52	3.76	3.76	3.76	3.76	3.76	3.76	0.00	0.00	337.97	
13	Tier 1 Funding Sources: To support TMDL, Act 64, CSO																						
14	CWSRF Current Balance (6/30/2015 - Provided as Loans)	1.82	2.10	4.02	4.55	4.54	4.82	4.62	3.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.92	CWSRF Starting Balance ~ 7/1/15; set at 61.1; spread over 10 years
15	CWSRF Annual Federal Grant (Provided as Loans)	1.83	2.11	4.03	4.56	4.55	4.83	4.63	3.46	1.02	1.31	1.31	0.95	0.50	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.43	0.52	0.52	0.52	38.65	Assumes Level Funding
16	CWSRF Annual State Match (Provided as Loans)	0.39	0.45	0.86	0.97	0.97	1.03	0.99	0.74	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11	8.23	Assumes Level Funding
17	CWSRF Annual Loan Repayments (Provided as Loans)	2.83	3.40	6.76	7.96	8.26	9.12	9.09	7.07	2.17	2.89	3.00	2.27	1.25	1.34	1.40	1.45	1.25	1.57	1.57	1.57	76.23	Based on Current Projections
18	WPC Annual State Grants Appropriation	0.63	0.72	1.38	1.56	1.56	1.66	1.59	1.19	0.35	0.45	0.45	0.33	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.18	13.25	Projection based on prior 10-yr avg.
19	USDA RD WEP Annual Loan Appropriation	1.39	1.60	3.06	3.46	3.46	3.67	3.51	2.63	0.77	0.99	0.99	0.72	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.33	0.39	0.39	0.39	29.34	Assumes Level Funding & 50/50 Split w/DW
	USDA RD WEP Annual Grant Appropriation	0.67	0.78	1.48	1.68	1.67	1.77	1.70	1.27	0.37	0.48	0.48	0.35	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.19	0.19	14.20	Assumes Level Funding
21	USDA RD REAP Zone Grant	0.25	0.29	0.56	0.63	0.63	0.67	0.64	0.48	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	5.36	Assumes Level Funding
22	lier 1 Subtotal	9.80	11.46	22.14	25.39	25.65	27.56	26.77	20.30	5.05	6.58	6.69	4.94	2.68	2.81	2.86	2.92	2.47	3.04	3.04	3.04	215.18	
23	fier 1 Gap: To support TMDL, Act 64, CSO	(2.02)	(4.63)	(12.81)	(17.27)	(29.15)	(20.40)	(12.25)	(6.46)	(6.23)	(4.70)	(4.59)	(2.58)	(1.08)	(0.95)	(0.90)	(0.84)	(1.29)	(0.72)	3.04	3.04	(122.79)	
24	lier 2 Costs: Costs that Support, Enhance & Catalyze Complian	nce																					
	Water Quality-Related Refurbishment, Other WWTFs &																						
25	Collection Systems	26.84	24.02	10.81	6.73	7.78	4.56	5.41	13.88	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	34.20	510.40	Figures beyond FY16 based on Needs Survey (2008) and adjusted to 2015 dollars
26	Sewer Extension (Pollution Abatement Only)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	20.00	Based on Castleton, W Windsor & Colchester. Assume \$1M FY18 and beyond.
27	Tier 2 Subtotal	27.84	25.02	11.81	7.73	8.78	5.56	6.41	14.88	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	35.20	530.40	
28	lier 2 Funding Sources: Funding Sources to Support Compliant	ce																					
29	CWSRF Current Balance (6/30/2015 - Provided as Loans)	4.29	3.27	1.36	0.82	0.73	0.56	0.76	2.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.97	CWSRF Starting Balance ~ 7/1/15; set at 61.1; spread over 10 years
30	CWSRF Annual Federal Grant (Provided as Loans)	4.30	3.28	1.36	0.83	0.74	0.56	0.76	1.93	3.18	4.08	4.08	4.44	4.73	4.87	4.87	4.87	4.04	4.87	5.39	5.39	68.57	Assumes Level Funding
	CWSRF Annual State Match (Provided as Loans)	0.92	0.70	0.29	0.18	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.41	0.68	0.87	0.87	0.95	1.01	1.04	1.04	1.04	0.86	1.04	1.15	1.15	14.61	Assumes Level Funding
32	CWSRF Annual Loan Repayments (Provided as Loans)	6.67	5.29	2.28	1.44	1.32	1.06	1.49	3.93	6.76	9.01	9.37	10.60	11.74	12.58	13.08	13.60	11.73	14.71	16.94	17.61	171.22	Based on Current Projections
33	WPC Annual State Grants Appropriation	1.47	1.12	0.47	0.28	0.25	0.19	0.26	0.66	1.09	1.40	1.40	1.52	1.62	1.67	1.67	1.67	1.38	1.67	1.85	1.85		Projection based on prior 10-yr avg.
34	USDA RD WEP Annual Loan Appropriation	3.26	2.49	1.03	0.63	0.55	0.42	0.58	1.46	2.42	3.10	3.10	3.37	3.59	3.70	3.70	3.70	3.06	3.70	4.09	4.09	52.04	Assumes Level Funding & 50/50 Split w/DW
35	USDA RD WEP Annual Grant Appropriation	1.58	1.20	0.50	0.30	0.27	0.21	0.28	0.71	1.17	1.50	1.50	1.63	1.74	1.79	1.79	1.79	1.48	1.79	1.98	1.98		Assumes Level Funding
	USDA RD REAP Zone Grant	0.60	0.46	0.19	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.27	0.44	0.57	0.57	0.62	0.66	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.56	0.68	0.75	0.75		Assumes Level Funding
37	ubtotal	23.09	17.81	7.48	4.60	4.12	3.19	4.39	11.55	15.75	20.53	20.89	23.13	25.07	26.32	26.82	27.34	23.11	28.45	32.14	32.82	378.60	
38	lier 2 Gap: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	(4.75)	(7.20)	(4.33)	(3.13)	(4.65)	(2.36)	(2.01)	(3.33)	(19.45)	(14.67)	(14.31)	(12.07)	(10.13)	(8.88)	(8.38)	(7.86)	(12.09)	(6.75)	(3.06)	(2.38)	(151.80)	
39																							

39					
40 Summary	Pro	ojected Costs	Projected Funding	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
41 Tier 1 Wastewater: Required for TMDL, a	Act 64, CSO	\$337,971,000	\$215,181,138	(\$122,789,862)	(\$6,139,49
42 Tier 2 Wastewater: to Support, Enhance	& Catalyze Compliance	\$530,399,000	\$378,603,565	(\$151,795,435)	(\$7,589,7
43 Wastewater: Total		\$868,370,000	\$593,784,703	(\$274,585,297)	(\$13,729,26
44					
45 Tier 1 and Tier 2 Legend					
46 Blue Shaded lines are "Tier 1" costs, the inci	emental costs associated with TMDLs, Act 64 (20	15) and CSO Policy (201	6).		
47 Unshaded lines are "Tier 2" costs, costs that					
48 If no other source of state or federal funds a	re available to meet the funding gap, it is expect	ed that Municipal Bond	s would fill the gap		
49					
50			Abbreviations		
51	BMP:		ement Practice		
52	CSO:		ewer Overflows		
53	CWF:	Clean Water			
54	CWIP		Initiative Program		
55	CWSRF:		State Revolving Fund		
56	ER: FWD:		estoration Grants (of CWIP) fe Department		
5/	LC TMDL:		te Department Jain Total Max Daily Load		
50	NPS:		urce (not wastewater & reg. stormwa		
60	P04:	Phophorus	arce (not maxemater a reg. stormwa	aar)	
61	REAP Zone:		nic Area Program Grants		
62	USDA RD:		griculture Rural Development		
63	VTrans:		ency of Transporation		
40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	WEP	Water Enviro	onment Program		
65	WPC:	Water Pollut	ion Control		
66	ww:	Wastewater			

Sector #2: Agriculture Pollution Control

Eunding Nood	20-Year Projection	l'Se in millione	unlace athorwis	(hotesibni a
runung weeu,	20-Teal Projection	1 (35 111 11111110115	, unitess otherwis	e muicateu)

Funding Need, 20-Year Projection (\$s in millions, unless otherwise indicated)																						
4 Agricultural Sector																					20-Year	
5 Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30	FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	Notes
6 Tier 1 Costs: Required by TMDL, Act 64																						
7 Production Area BMP Implementation	9.00	10.00	11.00	12.00	12.00	11.00	10.00	9.00	8.00	7.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	119.0	e.g. silage leachate, manure pits, manure stacking sites, barnyards
8 Cropland BMP Implementation (Farm Agronomic Practices)	7.00	10.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	10.00	9.00	7.00	6.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	113.0	e.g riparian buffers, cover cropping, grassed waterways
9 Livestock exclusion (includes CREP)	3.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	34.5	total cost is based off of livestock exclusion report
0 NMP & CNMP Development	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	1.75	1.50	1.50	1.50	2.50	1.75	1.50	1.50	2.50	1.50	45.5	assumes NMP standard changes every 5 years
1 NMP Plan Implementation (NRCS Practice Code 590)	9.75	12.00	9.75	8.65	7.90	6.40	6.40	4.90	4.90	3.40	3.40	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	97.3	assumes NMP standard changes every 5 years
2 NMP Classes for Farmers	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	7.4	Supports training courses for farmers
3 Manure Applicator Training Program	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15		Support administration of training courses and provide regulatory oversight
																						1 FTE manage, train®ulate Tech Service Providers to assist for NMPs (incl
4 NMP Classes for Technical Service Providers	0.25			0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09			0.09		0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09			support for non-certified SFOs interested in NMP)
Tech. AssistBMPs (production area, cropland, livestock exclusion)	4.75	0.1010		7.25	7.00	6.00	5.25	4.25	3.75	3.25	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.13		1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13		100% of total costs to provide assistance, e.g., engineering, project mgmt
6 Tech. AssistNMPs (development and implementation)	3.41		3.63	3.10	2.91	2.26	2.26	1.88	2.01	1.61	1.42		1.06	1.06		1.12	1.06	1.06	1.31			100% of total costs to provide assistance
7 Tech. Assistmanure and soil testing	0.15			0.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			Soil testing support
8 Subtotal	41.0	51.1	52.0	51.9	49.6	41.3	37.5	30.7	28.8	24.3	14.6	12.8	12.8	10.9	12.2	11.2	10.9	10.9	12.2	10.9	527.6	
9 Tier 1 Funding Sources: To support TMDL, Act 64																						
0 USDA 5-year grant, BMP Implementation (VT RCPP)	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		RCPP-State of VT
1 Agriculture Technical Assistance (VACD RCPP)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		VACD for NMP
USDA BMP Implementation (NRCS Base Funding)	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	100.0	USDA
3 AAFM Capital Funds - Agriculture Implementation	2.5	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		assumes level funding each year (FY19-FY35)
4 AAFM General Fund	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		assumes level funding each year (FY17-FY35)
																						Does not include CWF operating for FY16,FY17; includes approx 10%
5 Clean Water Fund	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0				0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0			partner funds
6 Subtotal	10.1	9.9		10.7	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5			10.5				10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5		209.5	
7 Tier 1 Gap: To support TMDL, Act 64	(30.9)	(41.2)	(41.2)	(41.2)	(39.1)	(30.8)	(27.0)	(20.2)	(18.3)	(13.8)	(4.1)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(0.4)	(1.7)	(0.7)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(1.7)	(0.4)	(318.1)	
8 Tier 2 Costs: Costs that Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance																						
Capital Equipment Assistance Program	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	20.5	enhanced equipment to implement practices
Manure and soil tests	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	10.1	Base information for nutrient management plans
Incentive Payments	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30		0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30		goal is to have additional \$200,000 per year in private match
Farm relocation	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52		assume, took middle value, based on range VAAFM provide
3 Subtotal	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	46.8	
4 Tier 2 Funding Sources: Funding Sources to Support Compliance																						
Subtotal	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Tier 2 Gap: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(46.8)	

36 Tier 2 Gap: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	(2.3) (2.	3) (2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(2.4)	(2.4)	(2.4) (2	2.4)	(2.4)
37											
38 Summary	Projected	Costs	Proje	cted Fundi	ng	Total	20-Year G	iap	Annualia	ed Ga	эр
39 Tier 1 Agriculture: Required for TMDL, Act 64	\$52	7,633,654		\$209,5	05,000		(\$318,	128,654)	(\$	15,906	6,433)
10 Tier 2 Agriculture: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	\$4	6,766,538			\$0		(\$46,	766,538)	(\$2,338	8,327)
11 Agriculture: Total	\$57	4,400,192		\$209,5	05,000		(\$364,	895,192)	(\$	18,244	4,760)
12											
13 Tier 1 and Tier 2 Legend											
Blue Shaded lines are "Tier 1" costs, the incremental costs associated w	ith TMDLs, Act 64 (2	015) and C	SO Policy (2	2016).							
45 Unshaded lines are "Tier 2" costs, costs that support, enhance and cata	yze compliance.										
16											
17			Abbre	viations							
48	BMP:		nagement I								
19	CSO:		ed Sewer O	verflows							
50	CWF:		ater Fund								
51	CWIP		ater Initiati								
52	CWSRF:		ater State I								
53	ER: FWD:		m Restorat		(of CWIP	')					
54 EE	LC TMDL:		implain Tot		ly Load						
56	NPS:					g. stormwa	tor)				
57	PO4:	Phophor		or wasten	ater are	8. J.LOI III W.L	ccij				
58	REAP Zone:		onomic Are	a Program	Grants						
59	USDA RD:	US Dept	of Agricult	ure Rural D	evelopm	ent					
50	VTrans:	Vermon	Agency of	Transpora	tion						
166 177 188 189 190 100 151 152 152 153 155 156 157 177 188 199 100 101 101 101 101 101 101 101 101	WEP		nvironment								
52	WPC:	Water P	ollution Co	ntrol							
53	ww:	Wastew	ater								

Sector #3: Stormwater, Road Infrastructure Runoff and Erosion Control

1									*** FIN	AL -	1/10/2	017 *	**									
_	Sect	or #3	: Storr	nwate	er Ro	ad Inf	frastri	icture	Run	off ar	nd Fro	nsion	Conti	rol .								
2	Jeec		ding Nee										Conti	0.								
3	_	Fun	aing ivee	a, 20-10	ear Proj	ection (şs in mii	ions, u	niess ot	nerwis	e indica	tea)					_	_	_	_	20.1/	
Stormwater/Developed Lands Sector Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	EV27	EV 20	EV 20	EV 20	EV 21	FY 32	EV 22	EV 24	EV 25	EV 26	EV 27	20-Year Total	Notes
6 Tier 1 Costs: Required by TMDL. Act 64, CSO	L119	F119	F120	FTZI	FTZZ	F123	F124	F125	F120	F127	F1 20	F1 29	FT 30	Lt 21	FT 32	FT 33	F1 34	FT 33	F1 30	FT 37	TULdi	Notes
Inventory to Identify Stormwater "Critical Source Areas" for Treatmen	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	10.0	Municipal inventories: WSMD estimate
Non-Road Stormwater/Developed Land Implementation	4.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	25.6	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0		4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4		DEC estimate: used \$30K/acre estimate
Municipal Roads Implementation	3.6	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3		Municipal Roads Implementation using DEC estimates
State (VTrans): (a) Roads & (b) Non-Road Developed Land-Implementa	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6		VTrans implementation using DEC estimates
Funding Need Subtotal		38.93	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	38.9	43.3										694.7	
Tier 1 Funding Sources: To support TMDL, Act 64, CSO	15:25	30.33	30.3	30.3	30.3	30.3	30.3	30.3	30.3	30.3	43.3	43.5	43.5	43.5	43.5	43.5	17.0	17.0	17.0	1710	03417	
State Municipal Mitigation-VT Better Roads-Municipal Support	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	8.8	Former Better Back Roads Grants: Assumes Level Funding
State Transportation Funds-Municipal Support	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	_	1.0	1.0	1.0			Assumes continuation of funds after FY19
State Transportation Funus-Wunicipal Support	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	20.0	assume Fed Highway/Transpo Alternatives will continue and fi
																						two years, full amount of funds dedicated to clean water
Fed Highway Admin Transportation Alternatives-Municipal Support	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1		improvements
																						Assumes linear sources are priority over non-highway sources:
																						100% of VTrans road-related costs are covered by FHA and sta
Federal Highway Administration - Project Dev., Construction	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	91.1	funds
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,																						Assume level funding from Federal partners (EPA, Great Lakes
7 Lake Champlain Basin Program	0.0	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	4.8	Fishery Commission)
CWIP Ecosystem Restoration	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	62.1	
9 Clean Water Fund	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.1	7.1	DEC estimate; 90% of available funds after FY18
Subtotal	11.01	10.40	10.5	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.4	13.6	13.6	215.8	
1 Tier 1 Gap: To support TMDL, Act 64, CSO	(2.24)	(28.5)	(28.4)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(28.5)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(32.9)	(7.3)	(7.3)	(4.2)	(4.2)	(478.9)	
2 Tier 2 Costs: Costs that Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance																						
Non-Road Stormwater Implementation (below regulatory thresholds)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5			0.5		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5		Stormwater controls for properties below 3 acres
4 Municipal Capital Equipment Assistance Program	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00						1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		enhanced equipment for municipalities to implement practices
5 Stormwater Technical Assistance	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		WSMD estimate to support VLCT, SeaGrant techn assistance
6 Subtotal	1.60	1.60	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	32.5	
7 Tier 2 Funding Sources: Funding Sources to Support Compliance																						
	ı	1			1	ĺ	1		1	1				l						1		WSMD Estimate; assumes 83% for life of RCPP match, then 109

32 Summary	Projected Costs	Projected Funding	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
33 Tier 1 Stormwater: Required by TMDL, Act 64	\$694,678,108	\$215,755,179	(\$478,922,929)	(\$23,946,146)
34 Tier 2 Stormwater: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	\$32,540,000	\$6,159,000	(\$26,381,000)	(\$1,319,050)
35 Stormwater: Total	\$727,218,108	\$221,914,179	(\$505,303,929)	(\$25,265,196)

7	TI	er	1	an	d	Ti	er	2	Le	g	e	n	d	

		3034,070,100	3213,733,173	(547
34 Tier 2 Stormwater: to Support, Enhance & Catalya	re Compliance	\$32,540,000	\$6,159,000	(\$2
5 Stormwater: Total		\$727,218,108	\$221,914,179	(\$5)
6	•			
7 Tier 1 and Tier 2 Legend				
8 Blue Shaded lines are "Tier 1" costs, the incremental	costs associated with TMDLs, Act 64 (2	2015) and CSO Policy (2016).	
Unshaded lines are "Tier 2" costs, costs that support,	enhance and catalyze compliance.			
10				
100 111 122 133 144 145 155 166 177 188 199 190 191 191 191 191 191 191 191 191		Abb	reviations	
2	BMP:	Best Management	Practice	
3	cso:	Combined Sewer	Overflows	
4	CWF:	Clean Water Fund		
5	CWIP	Clean Water Initia	tive Program	
6	CWSRF:	Clean Water State	Revolving Fund	
7	ER:	Ecosystem Restor	ation Grants (of CWIP)	
3	FWD:	Fish & Wildlife De	partment	
)	LC TMDL:	Lake Champlain To	otal Max Daily Load	
)	NPS:	NonPoint Source (not wastewater & reg. storm	water)
<u> </u>	PO4:	Phophorus		
<u>2</u>	REAP Zone:		rea Program Grants	
3_	USDA RD:		ture Rural Development	
4	VTrans:	Vermont Agency of		
5	WEP	Water Environmen		
66	WPC:	Water Pollution C	ontrol	
57	ww:	Wastewater		

Sector #4: Pestoration of Natural Pesources (Piver Corridors Floodylains Wetlands Forest Management) for Pollution Control

1							***	FINAL	- 1/1	0/2017	***											
	Sect	or #4 · R	actor	ation	of Na	tural	Resou	ircas	(Rive	r Coi	rido	rc Fl	ood	nlair	nc \//	ıclta	nds F	oraci	t Ma	nago	ment)	for Pollution Control
<u> </u>	Ject												oou	piaii	13, 44	Ctiai	ius, i	UI C3	LIVIA	iiage	memy	ioi Foliation Control
3		Funding !	veea, z	U-Year P	rojectio	ın (şs ir	millions	, unies	s otnerv	wise in	aicate	a)	_		_	_	_					Notes
A Natural Resources Sector: Pollution Reduction																					20-Year	Notes
Costs & Funding Sources	FY18	FY19	FY20	FY21	FY22	FY23	FY24	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY 28	FY 29	FY 30	FY 31	FY 32	FY 33	FY 34	FY 35	FY 36	FY 37	Total	
6 Tier 1 Costs: Required by TMDL, Act 64																						
Passive restoration projects (easements) Rivers (LC TMDL)	0.60	0.72	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90		0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90			water quality & flood resilience
Passive restoration projects (easements) Wetlands (LCTMDL)	0.00	0.30	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56		0.56		0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56			water quality & flood resilience
Floodplain restoration projects (LC TMDL)	0.60	0.80	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20		1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20		23.00	water quality & flood resilience
0 Wetland restoration projects (LC TMDL)	0.49	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.50	1.50	1.88	1.88	2.03	1.88	1.88	1.50	1.50	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	28.79	water quality & flood resilience
																						DFPR for TMDL (AMP training, publication), fores
1 Forestry Technical Assistance & Implementation	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21		0.21	0.21		0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21			buffer restoration, BMP incentivizes
2 Funding Need Subtotal	1.9	3.3	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	83.9	
3 Tier 1 Funding Sources: To support TMDL, Act 64																						
4 CWIP - Ecosystem Restoration	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.4	DEC estimate; Assume half of available funds
5 CWIP-Ecosystem Restoration RCPP Match-Wetland Restoration	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	
6 Clean Water Fund-Implementation, Tech Assistance	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	Assume half of available funds
7 Lake Champlain Basin Program	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.8	Assume alignment of funds to help meet need
8 FWD License Plate Grants-Implementation, Tech Assistance	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.35	Assume half of available funds
9 USDA WRE Program	0.50	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	17.60	Assume 90% of available funds
US Fish and Wildlife Service - Partners for Fish & Wildlife	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	6.00	
1 Subtotal	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	32.93	
2 Tier 1 Gap: To support TMDL, Act 64	(0.00)	(1.4)	(2.31)	(2.3)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(3.2)	(3.2)	(3.3)	(3.2)	(3.2)	(2.8)	(2.8)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(51.0)	
3 Tier 2 Costs: Costs that Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance		· /		, .,,	,	, ,,,				(1)				,	, .,		,	, ,,	, .,	,		
Tier 2 costs costs that support, Emance a catalyze compliance																						DEC estimate-river corridor & wetland restoratio
4 Assessments to identify priority Rivers and Wetlands projects	0.25	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.36	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	5.58	assessments
5 Clean Water restoration projects (easements) - Rivers	0.30	0.38	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		water quality & flood resilience
																			-	-		water quality & flood resilience
6 Clean Water Restoration projects (easements) - Wetlands	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19			
7 Clean Water Restoration projects - Floodplains	0.28	0.50	0.50	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70		water quality & flood resilience
8 Wetland restoration projects	0.12	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.50	0.40	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.40	0.50	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	0.33	7.82	water quality & flood resilience; added 0.3 fro fir
																						DFPR estimate for new logger capital equipment
9 Loggers Capital Equipment Assistance Program	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	19.00	assistance program
0 Subtotal	1.0	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	58.9	
1 Tier 2 Funding Sources: Funding Sources to Support Compliance						-	5.0						-		0.0		0.0	-	-	-		
2 CWIP - Ecosystem Restoration	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.4	DEC estimate: assume 10% of avail, funds
3 Clean Water Fund-Implementation, Tech Assistance	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		includes 20% of partner funds for FY17
4 FWD License Plate Grants-Implementation. Tech Assistance	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02		Assume 50% of available funds
5 USDA WRE Program	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10		Assume 10% of funding of Farm Bill program
6 Subtotal	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.3	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.3	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	6.44	problem
7 Tier 2 Gap: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	(0.00)	(2.5)	(2.6)	(2.8)	(2.9)	(2.0)	(2.8)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.0)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.9)	(2.0)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(52.4)	
	(0.00)	(2.5)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.0)	(2.5)	(2.0)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(2.5)	(2.0)	(2.5)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(2.7)	(32.4)	I .
38		and a short of					-	100.1/-	0		-0.0											
Sy Summary	P	rojected Cos	ts	Proj	ected Fun	aing	Tota	l 20-Year	Gap	Ann	ualized	gap										

30					
39	Summary	Projected Costs	Projected Funding	Total 20-Year Gap	Annualized Gap
40	Tier 1 Natural Resources: Required for TMDL, Act 64	\$83,885,000	\$32,927,000	(\$50,958,000)	(\$2,547,900)
41	Tier 2 Natural Resources: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance	\$58,868,000	\$6,435,000	(\$52,433,000)	(\$2,621,650)
42	Natural Resources: Total	\$142,753,000	\$39,362,000	(\$103,391,000)	(\$5,169,550)

41	Tier 2 Natural Resources: to Support, Enhance & Catalyze Compliance		\$58,868,000	\$6,435,000	(\$52
42	Natural Resources: Total		\$142,753,000	\$39,362,000	(\$103
43					
44	Tier 1 and Tier 2 Legend				
45	Blue Shaded lines are "Tier 1" costs, the incremental costs associated with Ti	MDLs, Act 64 (2015	5) and CSO Policy (201	6).	
46	Unshaded lines are "Tier 2" costs, costs that support, enhance and catalyze of	compliance.			
47					
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	-		Abbre	eviations	
49	-	BMP:	Best Managemen		
50	-	CSO:	Combined Sewer		
51		CWF:	Clean Water Fund		
52		CWIP	Clean Water Initia	ative Program	
53		CWSRF:	Clean Water State	Revolving Fund	
54		ER:	Ecosystem Restor	ation Grants (of CWIP)	
55		FWD:	Fish & Wildlife De	partment	
56		LC TMDL:	Lake Champlain T	otal Max Daily Load	
57	•	NPS:	NonPoint Source	(not wastewater & reg. storm	water)
58		PO4:	Phophorus		
59	-	REAP Zone:	Rural Economic A	rea Program Grants	
60	-	USDA RD:	US Dept of Agricu	Iture Rural Development	
61		VTrans:	Vermont Agency	of Transporation	
62	-	WEP	Water Environme	nt Program	
63	-	WPC:	Water Pollution C	ontrol	
64	-	ww:	Wastewater		
	-				

Appendix B

Review of Bonding Options

- **B.1.** Capital Expenditure Analysis and Remaining Bond Balances
- **B.2.** Treasurer's Request for Capital Project Funds for Clean Water Purposes

2015 A & B Issue (October 2015)	Par Bonds	Premium	Total	
Series A	28,515,000	4,263,849.90	32,778,849.90	
Series B	61,345,000	5,134,903.45	66,479,903.45	
Total for new projects	89,860,000	9,398,753	99,258,753.35	
Series C (Refunding) Total	25,720,000 115,580,000	2,727,443.45 12,126,196.80	28,447,443.45 127,706,196.80	
2015 Series A	Act 26 of 2015	Section	Spent	Remaining
State Buildings	19,294,837	2	17,552,609.49	1,742,227.51
Natural Resources	8,731,601	11	5,210,468.58	3,521,132.42
Agriculture	2,002,412	14	173,420.18	1,828,991.82
VHCB	2,750,000	18	2,488,924.12	261,075.88
	32,778,850	-	25,425,422.37	7,353,427.63
2015 Series B	Act 26 of 2015			
State Buildings	20,357,673	2	8,483,793.45	11,873,879.55
Administration	5,125,000	3	275,169.00	4,849,831.00
Human Services	300,000	4	95,043.30	204,956.70
Judiciary	5,880,000	5	5,372,196.99	507,803.01
Commerce & Comm Dev	393,000	6	280,039.06	112,960.94
Grant Programs	1,400,000	7	888,181.70	511,818.30
Education	4,057,688	8	20,426.00	4,037,262.00
UVM	1,400,000	9	1,399,999.78	0.22
State Colleges	2,400,000	10	2,400,000.00	-
Natural Resources	4,150,000	11	3,037,348.18	1,112,651.82
Military	809,759	12	547,595.13	262,163.87
Public Safety	300,000	13	1,510.00	298,490.00
Agriculture	200,000	14	93,611.94	106,388.06
Vt Rural Fire Protection	125,000	15	125,000.00	- -
Vt Veterans Home	500,000	16	2,517.00	497,483.00
Vt Historical Society	50,000	17	50,000.00	-
VHCB	1,800,000	18	1,118,103.56	681,896.44
Vt Interactive Tech	220,000	19	110,810.64	109,189.36
General Assembly	180,000	20	148,802.00	31,198.00
	49,648,120	·	24,450,147.73	25,197,972.27
Total - Act 26 of 2015	82,426,970		49,875,570.10	32,551,399.90
	Act 51 of 2013			
State Buildings	12,198,051	2	8,305,711.23	3,892,339.77
Grant Programs	500,000	7	445,687.44	54,312.56
Natural Resources	3,000,000	11	2,173,120.40	826,879.60
Agriculture	1,000,000	14	472,999.45	527,000.55
Total Act 51 of 2013 (178 of 2014)	16,698,051		11,397,518.52	5,300,532.48
TOTAL	99,125,021		61,273,089	37,851,932

2015 A & B Issue (October 2015)	Par Bonds	Premium	Total
Series A	28,515,000	4,263,849.90	32,778,849.90
Series B	61,345,000	5,134,903.45	66,479,903.45
Total for new projects	89,860,000	9,398,753	99,258,753.35
Series C (Refunding)	25,720,000	2,727,443.45	28,447,443.45
Total	115,580,000	12,126,196.80	127,706,196.80

16,698,051 99,125,021

Act 51 projects

Capital Bill		Ac	t 26 of 2015	Sp	ent through					Spent through	
Section		Ac	t 51 of 2013	D	ec. 8, 2016	I	Remaining		Act 160 of 2016	Jan. 5, 2017	Remaining
2	State Buildings		51,850,561		34,342,114		17,508,447		33,265,235	535,876.32	32,729,358.68
3	Administration		5,125,000		275,169		4,849,831		11,277,092	125,000.00	11,152,092.00
4	Human Services		300,000		95,043		204,957		200,000	-	200,000.00
5	Judiciary		5,880,000		5,372,197		507,803		4,830,000	-	4,830,000.00
6	Commerce & Comm Dev		393,000		280,039		112,961		420,000	22,842.00	397,158.00
7	Grant Programs		1,900,000		1,333,869		566,131		1,600,000	437,889.59	1,162,110.41
8	Education		4,057,688		20,426		4,037,262		60,000	-	60,000.00
9	UVM		1,400,000		1,400,000		0		1,900,000	1,882,698.00	17,302.00
10	State Colleges		2,400,000		2,400,000		-		3,050,000	1,982,698.00	1,067,302.00
11	Natural Resources		15,881,601		10,420,937		5,460,664		18,094,494	4,753,846.14	13,340,647.86
12	Military		809,759		547,595		262,164		1,058,000	159,555.50	898,444.50
13	Public Safety		300,000		1,510		298,490		1,180,000	152,030.41	1,027,969.59
14	Agriculture		3,202,412		740,032		2,462,380		2,370,000	78,334.82	2,291,665.18
15	Vt Rural Fire Protection		125,000		125,000		-		125,000	-	125,000.00
16	Vt Veterans Home		500,000		2,517		497,483		-	-	-
17	Vt Historical Society		50,000		50,000		-		-	-	-
18	VHCB		4,550,000		3,607,028		942,972		4,000,000	773,346.79	3,226,653.21
19	Vt Interactive Tech		220,000		110,811		109,189		-	-	-
20	General Assembly		180,000		148,802		31,198		145,000	-	145,000.00
20a	Public Service							_	300,000	-	300,000.00
	TOTAL	\$	99,125,021	\$	61,273,089	\$	37,851,932		83,874,821	10,904,117.57	72,970,703.43
	Act 26 projects		82,426,970								

Act 26 Projects (2015)	82,426,970
Act 51 Projects (2013)	16,698,051
Total 2015 A&B Issue	99,125,021
Act 160 Projects (2016)	83,874,821
Total 2015 & 2016 projects	166,301,791
Reallocations - 2016	1,847,575
Engineering costs (not bonded	(612,824)
Section 19 adj.	109,189
	1,343,940

Agrees to 2 yr Capital Bill 164,957,851

ELIZABETH A. PEARCE STATE TREASURER

RETIREMENT DIVISION TEL: (802) 828-2305 FAX: (802) 828-5182



UNCLAIMED PROPERTY DIVISION

TEL: (802) 828-2407

ACCOUNTING DIVISION TEL: (802) 828-2301 FAX: (802) 828-2884

STATE OF VERMONT OFFICE OF THE STATE TREASURER

TO:

Andy Pallito, Commissioner, Department of Finance and Management

FROM:

Beth Pearce, Vermont State Treasurer

RE:

Act 64 Capital Budget Recommendation

DATE:

December 27, 2016

Act 64 of 2015 directs the Office of the State Treasurer, in consultation with the Secretary of Administration, the Commissioner of Environmental Conservation, the Commissioner of Taxes as well as other agencies of jurisdiction, to prepare a legislative report on financing and funding recommendations to the General Assembly to continue to fund the Clean Water Fund and support clean water initiatives across the State. Act 64 directed the Treasurer's Office to include the following in the report:

- (1) Proposed revenue sources
- (2) Recommendation for incentivizing Best Management Practices
- (3) Estimated amount of revenue to be generated by source
- (4) Summary of how each source will be administered, collected and enforced
- (5) Assessment of whether the State should use bonds to finance water quality improvements
- (6) Legislative proposal to implement each of the proposed revenue sources

First, I would like to thank the Administration for all the research completed by the Agencies of Administration, Natural Resources, Agriculture, Transportation and Commerce and Economic Development. The efforts are greatly appreciated and, while the Treasurer's Office is the primary author of the report, any resulting steps will ultimately rest with capable staff in these agencies/departments.

As we have moved forward with the project, we have been guided by the following concepts.

(1) Reduce overlapping fee structures to minimize entities paying twice for the same service/activity,

- (2) Incentivize local and regional decision making and implementation,
- (3) Incentivize public and private entities to make water quality improvements, and,
- (4) Maximize on-going funding opportunities in the form of utility programs and revolving funding sources.

A program for effectively funding and financing clean water will require development of new technologies and structures at both the state and local level. At the same time, we are cognizant of the need to move quickly on this project given the EPA directives, requirements in state statute, the threat of litigation and most importantly, the need to invest in an asset that is so vital to our economy. We also recognize that tax and fee revenues are a limited commodity and have looked to use existing resources and to bend the cost curve downward wherever feasible.

Therefore, the Treasurer's office has developed the following goals:

- (1) Establish a two-year interim funding plan for the high priority projects to facilitate water quality implement efforts and create momentum for a more comprehensive funding plan,
- (2) Establish a base for a long-term funding plan that is targeted to start, beginning in year three,
- (3) To the extent possible, use existing resources.

While we are still working on some of the specifics of the recommendation, I believe that this can be achieved without raising taxes or fees over the next two years while we develop a model that maximizes cost efficiency and incentivizes local and regional decision making and implementation while providing adequate resources.

To accomplish this without raising taxes, but at the same time providing a glide path to the long-term model, we will be recommending the following, among others:

- (1) Reallocate/Secure/Prioritize State capital money from general obligation bond program consistent with CDAAC recommendations;
 - \$15 million annually for two years
- (2) Reallocate transportation infrastructure bond fund capital money, either in the form of pay-go capital or future issue of bond funds;
 - \$5 million annually, initially for two years

There exists a temporary window for the use of significant bonded dollars within the existing general obligation bond program due to a high level of authorized but unissued debt, i.e. there is a lag in the current spenddown of these dollars that would permit a "shifting" of cash

December 27, 2016 Office of the State Treasurer

expenditures for some existing capital authorizations to later years making dollars available in the next two years. In addition, the Treasurer's Office and its legal and financial advisors believe that the current Transportation Infrastructure Bond program has, in its current authorization, ability to extend this program to culvert and related work at the municipal level that would provide relief to municipalities.

We have previously discussed this with Administration staff but at this time are submitting a formal request for consideration as part of the capital plan. I am also sending a copy of this request to the Transition Team. In the end, the decisions will be up to the Administration and the General Assembly. We believe this approach does, however, offer the best opportunity to meet our statutory requirements and maintain the best value to the taxpayer.

I am prepared to discuss the specifics of the current bonding authorizations and expenses at your convenience.

Thank you for your consideration.

CC: Trey Martin, Secretary, Agency of Administration

Appendix C

Review of Revenue Options

- C.1. Revenue Review Criteria
- **C.2.** Abbreviated List of Revenue Sources Reviewed at the November 16th Public Meeting
- **C.3.** Description of Revenue Sources Reviewed at the November 16th Public Meeting
- C.4. Full list of Stakeholder Revenue Recommendations

CLEAN WATER FUND REVENUE SOURCE CRITERIA

Criteria used as a general framework to evaluate revenue sources for water quality improvements.

<u>Criteria</u>

Explanation

Revenue Potential	The amount of revenue that a source generates to support the Clean Water Fund or debt service on a bond for clean water improvements.
Predictability	The degree to which a revenue source fluctuates and/or is predictable over time.
Sufficiency	The degree to which a revenue source provides the dollars necessary to finance the desired rate of spending.
Effect on Outside Dollars	The degree of impact and leverage on outside dollars, such as Federal government contributions for a project.
Economic Impact	The entities that revenue sources target and the potential economic consequences of those sources.
Nexus to Water Quality	The degree to which a tax or fee bears a relationship to water quality and sources of pollution.
Promotes Mitigation	The degree to which a tax or fee encourages individuals and businesses to perform on-site mitigation to improve water quality.
Administration and Compliance	The degree to which: 1) revenues can be collected effectively, 2) the provision can be enforced, and 3) payers can easily comply.
Accountability	The degree of transparency/explicitness to payers of a revenue source.
Geographic Distribution	The degree to which a tax or fee applies across Vermont.
Income Equity	The degree to which a tax or fee is based on ability to pay.

Abbreviated List of Sources Considered				
	Revenue Source Annual Amount			
Cate	Category One: Existing Revenue Source			
Cate	Clean Water Surcharge on			
1	Property Transfers	Current 0.2% surcharge on the transfer of certain properties = \$4.7M-\$5M		
Sour	ces Proposed During	Stakeholder Process		
Cate	gory Two: Act 138 (2	013) and Act 97 (2014) Legislative Reports		
2	\$50 Annual Flat Parcel Fee	\$16.7 million		
3	\$3 Per Acre Per Parcel Fee	\$15 million		
4	Impervious Surface Tiered Acreage Fee	\$18 million		
5	Impervious Surface Tiered Parcel Fee	\$18 million		
6	Excise Tax on Pesticides	\$70,000-\$140,000		
7	Property tax	\$0.01 property tax increase = \$8M annually		
8	Personal Income Tax	\$7M: 1% of current revenues; \$13.3M: .1% increase applied to the rate of each tax bracket (i.e. 3.55% increased to 3.65%); \$5.5M: Bottom tax rate remains at 3.55% and other rates increased by .1%; \$2.8M: Bottom two brackets remain at 3.55%		
9	Gas tax	\$0.01 increase / gallon of gas = \$3M; \$0.01 tax/gallon of diesel = \$690,000		
10	Fertilizer tonnage fee Doubling the Ag fertilizer tonnage fee from \$0.50 to \$1 = \$19,000 in revenue Increasing the Ag fee to \$25 = \$930,000 in new revenue Increasing the non-Ag fee by \$1 = \$6,000 in new revenue			
11	Excise Tax on Flushable Consumer Products	1% excise tax = \$1.35M		
12	Excise Tax on Bottled Water Containers	\$0.01 per container = \$1M		
13	Escheating Unclaimed Beverage Container Deposits	\$1.5M-\$2M annually.		
14	DEC Fines for non- compliance	\$200,000		
15	Agency of Agriculture Food and Markets Fines for non- compliance	Agency of Agriculture penalties = \$175K-\$230K; Vermont Office of Attorney General Water quality violations totaled \$24.75K in 2016 and \$118K in 2014		
Cate	Category Three: Stakeholder Suggestions			
16	Sales Tax on Nail Salons	\$2.23M		
17	Sales Tax on Beauty Salon Services	\$4.3M		
18	End education property tax Exemption on Ski Lifts and Snowmaking Equipment	\$1.5-\$2M		
19	Current Use: Apply a 90% discount to all property enrolled in current use.	\$4.5M		
20	Sales Tax on Marinas	\$210,00		

21	Pharmaceutical Medicine	1% excise tax on prescription drugs = \$5.5M; 1% excise tax on non-	
21	Excise Tax	prescription drugs = \$600,000	
22	Sales Tax on Parking (Lots and Garages)	\$280,000	
23	Sales Tax on Limousine Services	\$610,000	
24	Sales Tax on Storage Units	\$940,000	
25	Sales tax on new car dealer labor charges, work under warranty, and value of service contracts	\$4.8M	
26	Sales Tax on General Auto Repair	\$6.2M	
27	Inspection Sticker Fee	\$1 increase = \$585K.	
28	Surtax on Rental Cars	1% surtax on rental vehicles = \$480,000.	
29	Surtax/Increase to Sales & Use Tax	0.1% increase = \$6M.	
30	Dollar Surcharge on Rooms	\$1 surcharge on rooms = \$3.6M	
31	Surtax/Increase to Meals, Rooms, and Alcoholic Beverages	25% increase = \$4.2M • \$2.5M – Meals • \$1.2M - Rooms • \$500K - Alcohol	
32	Voluntary Contribution Line Item on the Personal Income Tax Form	\$30K-\$100K	
Cate	Category Four: Reappropriation of Existing Source/Other Concepts		
33	Affinity Card	Minimal	
34	Reappropriation of Current Expenditures	Varies	

Categories of Revenue Sources

Category 1	Existing Revenue Source
Category 2	Revenue Options: Act 138 (2013) and Act 97 (2014) Legislative Reports
Category 3	Revenue Options: Stakeholder Suggestions
Category 4	Re-Appropriation of Existing Funds/Other Concepts

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 1: Existing Revenue Source

Clean Water Surcharge on Property Transfers

Annual Revenue Amount:

 0.2 Percent surcharge on the transfer of certain properties = \$4.7Million - \$5 Million

Notes:

The existing revenue source for the Clean Water Fund.

Revenue Options: Act 138 (2013) and Act 97 (2014) Legislative Reports

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

\$50 Annual Flat Parcel Fee

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$50 annual flat parcel fee = \$16.7 Million

Notes:

- Applies annually to all parcels regardless of their acreage and impervious surface.
- The above fee would be collected at the municipal level, where property taxes are collected. The Tax Department does not collect property taxes and is therefore not positioned to collect property fees.

\$3 Per Acre Per Parcel Fee

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$3 per acre per parcel fee = \$15 Million.

Notes

- Applies annually to all parcels.
- · Does not account for impervious surface.
- Applies annually to all parcels regardless of their acreage and impervious surface.
- The above fee would be collected at the municipal level, where property taxes are collected. The Tax Department does not collect property taxes and is therefore not positioned to collect property fees.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

Impervious Surface Tiered Acreage Fee

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$18 Million

Notes:

- Based on the amount of impervious surface per acre per parcel.
- Applies annually to all parcels regardless of their acreage and impervious surface.
- This source is based on the amount of impervious surface per acre per parcel. The Tax Department matched impervious surface data to 46,000 parcels (14% of the state) in 46 towns. The department then grouped parcel use codes from the Grand List based on the median amount of impervious surface per acre of the parcels within each use code. The tax is then applied at increasing rates based on per-acre impervious surface percentages per use code, and it is applied based on the acreage of parcels within each use code.
- The above fee would be collected at the municipal level, where property taxes are collected.
 The Tax Department does not collect property taxes and is therefore not positioned to collect property fees.

Impervious Surface Tiered Parcel Fee

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$18 Million

Notes:

- Uses the same general approach as tiered acreage impervious surface fee.
- · Applies to parcels based on their use code.
- · Does not account for acreage.
- Applies annually to all parcels regardless of their acreage and impervious surface.
- The above fee would be collected at the municipal level, where property taxes are collected.
 The Tax Department does not collect property taxes and is therefore not positioned to collect property fees.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

Excise Tax on Pesticides

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$70,000-\$140,000

Notes:

Difficult to estimate based on the limited and disparate data on these sales.

Property Tax

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$0.01 property tax increase = \$8 Million

Notes:

- Increases Vermont property taxes by \$0.01 per \$100 of property value.
- \$4 Million would come from the homestead property tax.
- Remaining \$4 Million would come from the nonresident property tax.
- Revenues currently applied to the Education Fund.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

Surtax on Personal Income Tax Liability

Annual Revenue Amount (4 Options):

- \$7 Million 1 percent of current revenues.
- \$13.3 Million 0.1 percent increase applied to the rate of each tax bracket (i.e. 3.55 percent increased to 3.65 percent).
- \$5.5 Million: Bottom tax rate remains at 3.55 percent; other rates increased by 0.1 percent.
- \$2.8 Million: Bottom two brackets remain at 3.55 percent and 7.8 percent and other rates raised by 0.1 percent.

Notes:

- Vermont's Personal Income Tax features a progressive rate schedule that ranges from 3.55
 percent to 8.95 percent.
- · Applies at an increasingly higher rate as a filer's taxable income increases.
- This estimate is based on TY13 data.

Excise Tax on Motor Fuels

Annual Revenue Amount:

- \$0.01 increase per gallon of gas = \$3 Million.
- \$0.01 tax per gallon of diesel = less than \$690,000.

Notes:

- · Increases the tax rates on motor fuels.
- · Targets the additional revenues for the Clean Water Fund.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

Fertilizer Tonnage Fee

Annual Revenue Amount:

- Doubling the agriculture fertilizer tonnage fee from \$0.50 to \$1 = \$19,000.
- Increasing the agriculture fertilizer tonnage fee to \$25 = \$930,000.
- Increasing the non-Agriculture fertilizer fee by \$1 = \$6,000.

Notes:

- Administered by the Agency of Agriculture, Food & Markets.
- Supports AAFM capacity.
- · Applies to fertilizer distributors.
- Based on the volume (tons) of fertilizer distributed.
- Was doubled at the 2016 legislative session from \$0.25 to \$0.50 per ton, and the non-ag fee increased from \$0.25 to \$30 per ton.

Excise Tax on Flushable Consumer Products

Annual Revenue Amount:

Excise tax of 1 percent = \$1.35 Million

Notes:

- Applies to flushable products due to contribution to wastewater stream.
- Includes soaps, shampoos, toothpastes, detergents, cooking oils, lotions, perfumes and other products that are deemed "safe" for flushing down a toilet.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

Excise Tax on Bottled Water Containers

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$0.01 per container = \$1 Million

Notes:

- · No bottled water excise tax in Vermont.
- Bottled water is exempt from the state's general sales & use tax and the bottle redemption fee.
- 17 states and D.C. apply a sales tax to bottled water sold through food stores; 34 states and D.C. apply a sales tax to bottled water sold through vending machines; 2 states apply fees at the manufacturer, distributor, wholesaler, and/or retailer levels (DEC).

Escheating Unclaimed Beverage Container Deposits

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$1.5 Million - \$2 Million

Notes:

- collects the unclaimed beverage container deposit fees from distributors.
- Are fees that consumers, who purchased beverages and paid a deposit fee to distributors, did not receive, because they chose not to return the beverage container to collect the deposit fee.
- This would collect those unclaimed beverage container deposit fees from distributors. These
 are the fees that customers, who purchased beverages and paid a deposit fee to
 distributors, did not receive because they did not redeem their used containers for the
 receipt of this fee.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 2

DEC Fines for Non-Compliance

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$200,000

Notes:

- Relates to environmental violations, including water quality violations.
- Diverts revenues from the General Fund to the Clean Water Fund.

Agency of Agriculture Fines for Non-Compliance

Annual Revenue Amount:

- Agency of Agriculture penalties = \$175,000 \$230,000.
- Vermont Office of Attorney General agriculture water quality violations in 2016 = \$24,750; in 2014=\$118,000.

Notes:

- AAFM penalties go into an Agency of Agriculture special fund.
- · Attorney General-generated penalties go to the General Fund.
- · Diverts revenues to the Clean Water Fund.
- Agency of Agriculture penalties are applied to special Agency funds. The water quality
 violation revenues generated via the Attorney General's Office were applied to the General
 Fund. This proposal would divert those revenues to the Clean Water Fund.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Revenue Options: Stakeholder Suggestions

Sales Tax on Nail Salons

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$2.23 Million

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to these services due to contribution to wastewater stream.
- · Clear nexus to water quality regarding chemical usage.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Pharmaceutical Medicine Excise Tax

Annual Revenue Amount:

• 1% excise tax on prescription drugs=\$5.5 million; 1% excise tax on non-prescription drugs=\$600,000.

Notes:

 May negatively impact individuals in need of prescription and non-prescription drugs (and that the cost of prescription and non-prescription drugs is already prohibitively high); however, it was noted by stakeholders that there is a nexus to water quality for this revenue source.

Sales Tax on Beauty Salon Services

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$4.3 Million

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to these services due to contribution to wastewater stream.
- Clear nexus to water quality regarding chemicals.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

End Education Property Tax Exemption on Ski Lifts and Snowmaking Equipment

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$1.5 Million - \$2 Million

Notes:

- Property tax does not currently apply to ski lifts and snowmaking equipment.
- · Directs revenues to the Clean Water Fund.
- It was noted through the stakeholder process that this revenue source did have a nexus
 to water usage; however, it was also noted by some stakeholders that separating this
 exemption from other "equipment" exemptions may not be equitable.

Current Use: Apply a 90% Discount to all Property Enrolled in Current Use

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$4.5 Million

Notes:

- Replaces current system with simpler model.
- · Current system applies use values determined by the UVA board.
- New model applies a tax to all enrolled property at 10% of its listed value (90% discount).
- Instead of using use values determined by the UVA board (ag:\$306/acre, Forest \$135/acre, Forest >1M from road \$101/acre, farm buildings \$0), this would simply tax all enrolled property at 10% of its listed value – a 90% discount.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Sales Tax on Marinas

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$210,000

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to these services.

Sales Tax on Parking (Lots and Garages)

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$280,000

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to these properties due to the impervious surfaces that generate stormwater runoff.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Sales Tax on Limousine Services

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$610,000

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to these services.

Sales Tax on Storage Units

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$940,000

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to the rental of these storage units due to the impervious surfaces that generate stormwater runoff.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Sales Tax on New Car Dealer Labor Charges, Work Under Warranty, and Value of Service Contracts

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$4.8 Million

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- Extends the sales tax to the these services.
- Nexus related to impact on the roads which cause runoff.

Sales Tax on General Auto Repair

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$6.2 Million

Notes:

- Sales tax does not currently apply to these services.
- · Extends the sales tax to the these services.
- Nexus related to impact on the roads which cause runoff.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Automobile Inspection Sticker Fee

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$1 increase = \$585,000.

Notes:

- Increases fee from \$6 to \$7.
- · Directs revenues to the Clean Water Fund.

Surtax on Rental Cars

Annual Revenue Amount:

• 1 percent surtax on rental cars = \$480,000.

Notes:

- Raises the rental vehicle tax from 9 percent to 10 percent.
- Based on FY15 data in the VT Transportation Funding Options Report.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Surtax on the Sales & Use Tax

Annual Revenue Amount:

0.1 percent increase = \$6 Million.

Notes:

- Increase Sales & Use Tax from 6 percent to 6.1 percent.
- 35 percent of current revenues is applied to the Education Fund.
- 65 percent of current revenues goes to the General Fund.

Surcharge on Rooms

Annual Revenue Amount:

• \$1 per night surcharge on rooms = \$3.6 Million.

Notes:

Applies to all rooms or units that are rented by Rooms Tax filers.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 3

Surtax/Increase to Meals, Rooms, and Alcoholic Beverages

Annual Revenue Amount:

- 25% increase = \$4.2M
 - \$2.5M Meals
 - \$1.2M Rooms
 - \$500K Alcohol

Notes:

This estimate is based on raising the Meals and Rooms tax from 9% to 9.25% and the Alcoholic Beverages Tax from 10% to 10.25%.

Voluntary Contribution Line Item on the Personal Income Tax Form

Annual Revenue Amount:

\$30,00 - \$100,000

Notes:

- Current tax form includes four items for voluntary contribution.
- Adds a fifth line-item for the Clean Water Fund.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 4

Re-Appropriation of Existing Funds/Other Concepts

Affinity Card

Annual Revenue Amount:

Minimal but un-projected

Notes

The Treasurer's Office could consider offering a State-sponsored Affinity Program pursuant to 32 V.S.A. § 584. Current statute permits issuance to support public purpose projects in the areas of sustainable agriculture, renewable energy, state parks and forestland, or any combination of these, as authorized during the 2009 legislative session. May provide a marketing base to residents of Vermont, the other New England states and the other states on the east coast of the United States, who enjoy Vermont's natural resources.

NOTE: These sources were proposed through the stakeholder process and are not recommendations or endorsements by the Treasurer's Office. The projections and descriptions are provided for the purpose of public information and discussion.

Category 4

Reappropriation of Current Expenditures

Annual Revenue Amount:

Varies

Notes:

- Review of authorized but unissued debt, capacity in current general obligation debt authorizations; potential use of funds in Transportation Infrastructure program, use of income in CWSRF program, potential use of Municipal Equipment Loan Fund dollars.
- It was noted by stakeholders that clean water improvements and projects be seen as an infrastructural investment with many benefits and therefore appropriate for capital funds

Complete List of Sources Considered

	Revenue Source	Annual Amount	Description
niti	al List of Sources - March 2	016	
1	Clean Water Surcharge on Property Transfers	Current 0.2% surcharge on the transfer of certain properties = \$4.7M-\$5M	This is the existing revenue source for the Clean Water Fund.
2	Excise Tax on Bottled Water Containers	\$0.01 per container tax would generate approximately \$1M	17 states and D.C. apply a sales tax to bottled water sold through food stores; 34 states and D.C. apply a sales tax to bottled water sold through vending machines; 2 states apply fees at the manufacturer, distributor, wholesaler, and/or retailer levels (DEC)
3	Excise Tax on Flushable Consumer Products	1% excise tax = \$1.35M	This would apply a 1% excise tax to products such as soaps, shampoos, detergents, cooking oils, lotion and perfumes.
4	Surtax/Increase to Meals, Rooms, and Alcoholic Beverages	25% increase = \$4.2M • \$2.5M - Meals • \$1.2M - Rooms • \$500K - Alcohol	This estimate is based on raising the Meals and Rooms tax from 9% to 9.25% and the Alcoholic Beverages Tax from 10% to 10.25%.
5	Surtax on Rental Cars	1% surtax on rental vehicles = \$480,000.	This would raise the rental vehicle tax from 9% to 10%.
6	Escheating Unclaimed Beverage Container Deposits	\$1.5M-\$2M	Connecticut, Massachusetts; New York, Maine, Michigan. This would collect those unclaimed beverage container deposit fees from distributors. These are the fees that customers, who purchased beverages and paid a deposit fee to distributors, did not receive because they did not redeem their used containers for the receipt of this fee.
7	Surtax/Increase to Sales & Use Tax	.1% increase = \$6M.	This would increase Vermont's Sales and Use Tax from 6% to 6.1%. 35% of Sales Tax revenue is applied to the Education Fund and 65% goes to the General Fund.
8	Parcel Fee	\$4.50/month fee = 17,820,000	
9	DEC Fines for non-compliance Should be: "Sewer, Septic, Water Surcharge"	\$8M annually (based on 1-cent property tax rate and commensurate septic charges).	 Sewer and water surcharges are generally collecte based on property value, so they are paid as propert taxes. For example, Montpelier residents who are connected to sewer pay a \$0.02 (per \$100 of property value) sewer and water benefit charge and a\$0.07 sewer separation charge on their municipal property tax bills. Commensurate septic fees could be applied, too. It does not seem that this approach is administratively feasible.
10	Excise Tax on Fertilizers & Pesticides	1% Excise Tax = \$650,000	An excise tax is already applied by the Agency of Agriculture Food and Markets to fertilizers based or volume. This excise tax and a separate excise tax on pesticides are modeled below.
	Special License Plate Fee	\$28,000 for every \$1 increase above the current \$48 rate for vanity plates.	Many states, including Ohio, Michigan, Missouri, California, Connecticut, Maryland, Massachusetts, North Carolina use this approach (DEC)
11			Ivorui Caronna use uns approach (DEC)
12	Mitigation or Impact Fees	Uncertain as they are tied to new development.	North Caronna use this approach (DEC)

Sources Proposed During Stakeholder Process

General Revenues

14	Personal Income Tax	 \$7M - 1% of current revenues. \$13.3M1% increase applied to the rate of each tax bracket (i.e. 3.55% increased to 3.65%) \$5.5M: Bottom tax rate remains at 3.55% and other rates increased by .1%. \$2.8M: Bottom two brackets remain at 3.55% and 7.8% and other rates raised by .1%. 	Vermont's Personal Income Tax features a progressive rate schedule that ranges from 3.55% to 8.95%. It is applied at an increasingly higher rate as a filer's taxable income increases. This estimate is based on TY13 data.	
15	Voluntary Contribution Line Item on the Personal Income Tax Form	\$30K-\$100K	The Personal Income Tax form currently includes four line-items for voluntary contributions to support initiatives such as the Nongame Wildlife Fund and the Children's Trust Fund. This would add a fifth line-item for the Clean Water Fund.	
16	Reappropriation of Current Expenditures	Varies	Review of authorized but unissued debt, capacity in current general obligation debt authorizations; potential use of finds in Transportation Infrastructure program, use of income in CWSRF program, potential sue of Municipal Equipment Loan Fund dollars.	
17	Dollar Surcharge on Rooms	\$1 surcharge on rooms = \$3.6M	This revenue source would assess a \$1 per night charge on all rooms/units that are rented by Rooms Tax filers.	
18	Property tax	\$0.01 property tax increase = \$8M annually.	This proposal would increase Vermont property taxes by \$0.01 per \$100 of property value. The \$4M would come from the homestead property tax and the other \$4M would come from the nonresident property tax. All of these revenues are currently applied to the Education Fund.	
19	Affinity Card	Minimal but un-projected	Treasurer's Office could consider offering a State-sponsored Affinity Program pursuant to 32 V.S.A. § 584. Current statute permits issuance to support public purpose projects in the areas of sustainable agriculture, renewable energy, state parks and forestland, or any combination of these, as authorized during the 2009 legislative session. May provide a marketing base to residents of Vermont, the other New England states and the other states on the east coast of the United States, who enjoy Vermont's natural resources.	
20	Energy consumption tax	1% of gross revenue tax on electricity producers would yield \$55K.	State implements a gross rev tax on electric, telephone, cable, gas, and private water utilities. While there might be an argument for a nexus with water utilities, public water utilities would be the place to look for revenues, as these private utilities generate a mere \$1,000 in revenue each year. The revenues from this tax are split 60/40 to the Public Service Department and Public Service Board. These are their primary revenues, and many PSD programs are underfunded.	
	Agriculture Nexus			
21	Agency of Agriculture Food and Markets Penalties for non- compliance	 Agency of Agriculture penalties = \$175K-\$230K. Vermont Office of Attorney General Water quality violations totaled \$24.75K in 2016 and \$118K in 2014. 	Agency of Agriculture penalties are applied to special Agency funds. The water quality violation revenues generated via the Attorney General's Office were applied to the General Fund. This proposal would divert those revenues to the Clean Water Fund.	
22	Fertilizer tonnage fee	 Doubling the Ag fertilizer tonnage fee from \$0.50 to \$1 = \$19,000 in new revenue. Increasing the Ag fee to \$25 = \$930,000 in new revenue. Increasing the non-Ag fee by \$1 = \$6,000 in new revenue. 	Fertilizer fees are administered by the Agency of Agriculture and apply to distributors of fertilizer. The fees are based on the volume (tons) of fertilizer distributed. The agriculture fertilizer fee was doubled last legislative session from \$0.25 to \$0.5, and the non-ag fee increased 120x from \$0.25-\$30.	

			Difficult to estimate based on the limited and
23	Excise Tax on Pesticides	\$70K-\$140K	disparate data on these sales.
24	Manure per ton fee for all animals (even pets)		Part of this is captured in fertilizer tonnage fee.
25	Licensing fee for technical service providers (i.e. manure applicator fee)	A \$1 increase to the \$960 manure applicator fee would raise about \$30.	Agency of Ag data.
26	Exporting waste as a value-added product (i.e. fertilizer)	Not clear how this would work. Therefore, couldn't model estimates.	
27	Tax farm buildings enrolled in current use at 10% of assessed value.	\$6.4M • \$4.8M in new revenue to Ed Fund • \$1.6M in savings to GF	Farm buildings currently enrolled in current use are not taxed. Prior to 2003, they were assessed at 30% of their value. This proposal would assess them at 10% of their value.
28	Unenroll from current use ag land within x distance of waterways and apply estimated tax dollars to improvements	Net negative	 Very complex and controversial from a legal and administrative standpoint and a very minor amount of revenue (wouldn't even cover expected legal cost to state). Easier solution would be to expand riparian buffers along ag land by allowing more ag land to enroll this buffer area in current use.
		Developed Lands/Roads/Stormwater N	
29	Impervious Surface Tiered Acreage Fee	\$18 million	This source is based on the amount of impervious surface per acre per parcel. The Tax Department matched impervious surface data to 46,000 parcels (14% of the state) in 46 towns. The department then grouped parcel use codes from the Grand List based on the median amount of impervious surface per acre of the parcels within each use code. The tax is then applied at increasing rates based on per-acre impervious surface percentages per use code, and it is applied based on the acreage of parcels within each use code. These fees would be collected at the municipality level.
30	Impervious Surface Tiered Parcel Fee	\$18 million	This source uses the same general approach as the tiered acreage impervious surface fee, but applies to parcels based solely on their use code. It, therefore, doe not account for acreage. These fees would be collected at the municipality level.
31	\$3 Per Acre Per Parcel Fee	\$15 million	This source is a flat \$3/acre fee applied annually to parcels. It does not account for impervious surface. These fees would be collected at the municipality level.
32	\$50 Annual Flat Parcel Fee	\$16.7 million	This source is a flat \$50 fee applied annually to all parcels regardless of their acreage and impervious surface. These fees would be collected at the municipality level.
33	Excise Tax on Motor Fuels	 \$0.01 increase / gallon of gas = \$3M. \$0.01 tax/gallon of diesel = \$690,000 	An additional \$0.01 tax/gallon of gas would generate about \$3M per year. A \$0.01 tax on diesel would yield less than \$690,000
34	Inspection Sticker Fee	\$1 increase = \$585K.	Increasing the automobile inspection sticker price from \$6-\$7.
35	Sales Tax on General Auto Repair	\$6.2M	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to services related to general auto repair. This would apply the Sales and Use Tax to those services.
36	Sales tax on new car dealer labor charges, work under warranty, and value of service contracts	\$4.8M	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to services performed at new car dealerships.
37	Sales Tax on Storage Units	\$940,000	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to storage units. This would apply the Sales and Use Tax to the rental of those units.
38	Sales Tax on Limousine Services	\$610,000	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to limousines.

39	Sales Tax on Parking (Lots and Garages)	\$280,000	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to parking lot and garage fees.
40	Sales Tax on Marinas	\$210,000	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to marina services.
41	Tour bus per-passenger fee	Not clear how this would be implemented. Therefore, can't estimate.	
42	Toll on Champlain Bridge and other gateways to VT	AOT has explored this and found that it is not cost effective.	
43	Vehicle Registration fee	\$1 increase to vehicle registration fees would yield about \$800K	These fees were increased by 6%-183% (depending on the fee) last year. This model includes registration for: automobiles, Jitneys, motorcycles, trucks, special purpose trucks, trailers, contractor trailers, motor buses, and diesel-powered pleasure cars.
44	Vehicle Miles Traveled Fee	\$0.01 per mile generates \$63.5M per year.	Modeled by JFO and AOT in Sec. 10 Funding Options report submitted to Leg in 2016. Very difficult to implement and administer. Might involve agreements with other states. Would exempt out-of-state drivers from contributing if Vermont implemented this on its own. Also, how to assess for miles traveled on other states' roads?
45	Stormwater permit fees	DEC does not want to change these, as they were recently increased.	
46		DEC does not want to change these, as they were recently increased.	
47	Land Gains Surtax	1% surtax would generate an estimated \$6,000- \$15,000 annually	Very unreliable and difficult to administer tax.
48	Sales tax on landscaping services (residential and commercial)	\$8M if sales tax were applied.	Sales Tax on Services Report
49	Tax on products that use phosphorous and nitrogen during production/tax incentive for those that don't	Not clear how this would or could be implemented.	
		Natural Resources Nexus	
50	DEC Fines for non-compliance	\$200,000	These are penalties for compliance violations. This proposal would divert these revenues from the General Fund to the Clean Water Fund.
51	Current Use: Apply a 90% discount to all property enrolled in current use.	\$4.5M	Instead of using use values determined by the UVA board (ag:\$306/acre, Forest \$135/acre, Forest >1M from road \$101/acre, farm buildings \$0), this would simply tax all enrolled property at 10% of its listed value a 90% discount.
52	Current Use: Increase Use Values for Ag and Forest per acre by \$100	\$5M	\$3.8M increase to ed fund and \$1.2M in savings to GF to reimburse towns for muni taxes.
53	Taxes on water-reliant recreation equipment (winter skiing, boats, etc.)	Sales tax already applies to many ski-related and boat- related purchases that stakeholders supported. Other taxes were modeled separately and are included in this matrix.	
54	End Property Tax Exemption on Ski Lifts and Snowmaking Equipment	\$1.5-\$2M	Ski lifts and snowmaking equipment at Vermont ski resorts are exempt from statewide property taxes, which fund the Education Fund. This would end that that property tax exemption and send these revenues to the Clean Water Fund.
55	Increased recreation fees (state parks)	Varies	
56	Raising permit fees	Varies	DEC does not want to adjust its permit fees.
57	Roat Rees	\$1 increase to all motorized boat fees would yield about \$25k.	Fees vary in size based on the type of boat and timeline.
		Wastewater Nexus	

58	Sales Tax on Beauty Salon Services		The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to beauty salon services. This would apply the tax to those services.
59	Sales Tax on Nail Salons	\$2.23M	The 6% Sales and Use Tax does not currently apply to nail salons. This would apply the tax to those services.
60		1% excise tax on prescription drugs = \$5.5M; 1% excise tax on non-prescription drugs = \$600,000	May negatively impact individuals in need of prescription/non-prescription drugs; however, it was noted by stakeholders that there is a nexus to water quality for this revenue source.
1 61	Excise Tax on water appliances and plumbing fixtures	1% Excise Tax would yield roughly \$500,000	Possible disincentive to public health/water quality improvements.
62	Sales Tax on Pet Care (except vet services)	\$710,000 if sales tax were applied	Sales Tax on Services Report
63	Septage Pumping Fees	Varies	Fees were recently raised
64	Other septic related fees	Varies	Lake George has a current model